

Arctic Development Library

Visitor Satisfaction Survey - 1989 Type of Study: Statistics/surveys Tourism, Nwt General Surveys Date of Report: 1989 Author: G.n.w.t. - Economic Development & Tourism Catalogue Number: 11-1-35

VISITOR SATISFACTION SURVEY - 1989

Sector: Louisses

11-1-35 Statistics/Surve 61 510 012

December 1989

VISITOR SATISFACTION SURVEY

Introduction:

This **is** a new survey of the users of campground and information **centres**, conducted during the summer of 1989 in most parts of the **Northwest** Territories. The survey **was** mandatory for some Government of the Northweet Territories establishments and offered on a voluntary basis to community and zone **association establishments**.

Purpose:

The people using N.W.T. campgrounds and information **centres** were surveyed to determine their degreeof satisfaction with the services and facilities provided. The findings acted as a month by month monitor to guide supervisors of the campgrounds and information **centres** in the operation of their establishments. In the longer term, user opinions will provide guidance in the design and construction of the campgrounds and information **centres**.

Methodology:

The survey was conducted during the summer of 1989 with three stints taking place during June, July and August.

Participating establishments included:

- (a) three Government of the N.W.T. information centres and three community operated centres.
- (b) **six** Government of the **N.W.T.** campgrounds (Territorial parks) that had gate control or regularly supervised premises.
- (c) three Territorial parks without gate control or regular supervision.

In the early days or weeks of each month, fifty questionnaires were distributed to the users (one per party) of each establishment for a summer total of 150 per establishment. In the case of (a) and (b), gate staff carried out the distribution and sometimes the collection of questionnaires. In the case of (c), outfitters or possibly park staff carried out these functions. In all cases the users had the option to use a postage paid, centrally addressed envelope; and, they were encouraged to use it for the sake of frank and confidential replies. Most users did employ the envelope.

The questionnaires differed somewhat among the (a), (b) and (c) establishments; and, they are shown as the respective appendices, A, B and C.

Response rates are calculated on the assumption of complete distribution of SO questionnaires per month per establishment. For some campgrounds and information **centres**, it is likely that less (far less possibly) than 50 were handed out.

For some questions there were no replies, or entries of "Did not use". These, for simplicity, are eliminated from the tables of findings so that in some cases the total replies is less that the number of questionnaires returned.

Within a week or so of the beginning of July, August and September **summary** findings regarding the previous month were provided to all Regional Tourism Officers. In the case of definite complaints (especially of operational rather than **a** structural nature), the information was relayed to the RTOS **immediately** upon its receipt by the central processing office.

Findings:

The monthly summaries and the summer totals for each establishments are shown in the following tables:

	· · . · · ·	

- 2 -

TABLE la, INFORMATION CENTRES - JUNE

INFORMATION CENTRE	RETURN OF	QUESTIONNAIRES	Q #1 (a) USE OF	WASHROOMS	Q #1 (b) WASHR	DOMS CLEAN	Q #2 C	OURTEOUS ATT	Éndant	Q #3 :	BROCHURES E	IELPFUL
Name/Location	Number	Return Rate	Yes	No	Yes	No	Def. Yes	Somewhat SO	Def. No	Def. Yes	Somewhat so	Def. No
60th Parallel Mackenzie Hwy.	29	58%	25	4	23	2	29	0	0	25	3	1
Angmarlik Centre Pangnirtung	13	26%	4	9	4	0	12	1	0	13	0	0
Nutuiluie Centre Ft. McPherson	41	82%	32	9	30	2	41	0	0	36	5	0
Arctic Coast TA Cambridge Bay	o	0%										
Hay River, in-town Centre	o	0%										
Northern Frontier cabin-Yellowknife	26	52%					25	1	0	24	2	0

_

-	3	-

TABLE 15, INFORMATION CENTRES - JULY

INFORMATION CENTRE	RETURN OF	QUESTIONNAIRES	Q #1 (a) USE OF	WASHROOMS	Q #1 (b) WASHR	OOMS CLEAN	Q #2 C	OURTEOUS ATT	endant	Q #3	BROCHURES H	ielpful
Name/Location	Number	Return Rate	Yes	No	Yes	No	Def. Yes	somewhat SO	Def. No	Def. Yes	somewhat so	Def. No
60th Parallel Mackenzie Hwy.	27	54%	27	0	27	0	26	1	0	27	0	0
Angmarlik Centre Pangnirtung	16	32%	12	4	12	0	15	1	0	15	0	0
Nutuiluie Centre Ft. McPherson	31	62%	23	8	22	1	31	0	0	29	2	0
Arctic Coast TA Cambridge Bay	o	0%										
Hay River, in-town Centre	10	20%	5	5	5	0	10	0	0	7	3	0
Northern Frontier cabin-Yellowknife	25	50%					25	0	0	23	2	0

—

_

TABLE 1C, INFORMATION CENTRES - AUGUST

- 4 -

INFORMATION CENTRE	RETURN OF	RETURN OF QUESTIONNAIRES	Q #1 (a) USE OF WASHROOMS	ASHROOMS	Q #1 (b) WASHROOMS CLEAN	OOMS CLEAN	Q #2 COUR	Q #2 COURTEOUS ATTENDANT	INDANT	Q#3 BRO	Q #3 BROCHURES HELLPFUL	TLPFUL
	umb		Vac	No	Yes	No	Yes.	So	De. No	Yes	So	No
60th Parallel Mackenzie Hwy.	30	60%	25	ß	25	0	28	7	0	27	1	o
Angmarlik Centre Pangnirtung	22	448	18	4	18	0	22	0	0	20	0	0
Nutuiluie Centre Ft. MacPherson	23	46%	19	e	19	0	22	1	0	19	m	0
Arctic Coast TA Cambridge Bay	0	80	ł	ł			1	1	I	I	1	ł
Hay River, in-town Centre	ß	10%	2	m	3	0	ŝ	o	o	Ŋ	o	0
Northern Frontier cabin-Yellowknife	21	42%	1	1	1	ł	21	0	0	21	0	0

./5

- 5 -

Ę

TABLE 1d, INFORMATION CENTRES - ENTIRE SUMMER

INFORMATION CENTRE	RETURN OF	QUESTIONNAIRES	Q #1 (a) USE OF	F WASHROOMS	Q #1 (b) WASHR	COMS CLEAN	Q #2 CC	URTEOUS ATT	endant	Q #3 1	BROCHURES H	Elpful
Name/Location	Number	Return Rate	Yes	No	Yes	No	Def. Yes	somewhat so	Def. No	Def. Yes	Somewhat so	Def . No
60th Parallel Mackenzie Hwy.	88	59.%	77	9	75	2	83	3	0	79	4	1
Angmarlik Centre Pangnirtung	51	34.%	34	17	34	0	49	2	0	48	0	0
Nutuiluie Centre Ft. McPherson	95	63.%	74	20	71	3	94	1	0	83	10	0
Arctic Coast TA Cambridge Bay	o	0.%										
Hay River, in-town Centre	15	lo.%	7	8	7	0	15	0	0	12	3	0
Northern Frontier cabin-Yellowknife	72	48.%					71	1	0	68	4	0

_

. . ./6

-

TABLE	2a,	TERRITORIAL	PARKS	- JUNE	

- 6 -

1

TERRITORIAL PARR - supervised	RETURN OF	QUESTIONNAIRES	Q #1 WASHROOM	CLEAN	Q #2 (COURTEOUS AT	TENDANT	Q #3 AD I	SQUATE WAT	SR Q	#4 ADBQUA	TE WOOD
Name/Location	Number	Return Rate	Yes	No	Def. Yes	Somewhat so	Def. No	Уе	s No	þ	Yes	No
Long Lake Yellowknife	7	14%	2	4	5	2	0		3 4	1	7	0
Prelude Lake Yellowknife	9	18%	7	1	8	1	0		8 1	L	9	0
Queen Elizabeth Fort Smith	14	28%	13	0	14	0	0	1	4 ()	13	0
Hay River	13	26%	11	2	13	0	0	1	3 ()	11	2
Chuk, Inuvik	44	88%	40	3	43	1	0	4	4 ()	36	0
Blackstone Liard Highway	10	20%	8	0	9	1	0) ()	9	0
ERRITORIAL PARR - unsupervised	RETURN OF	QUESTIONNAIRES	Q #1 WASHROOM	CLEAN	Q #2	GOOD INTREPRE	TATION	Q #3 SATI	SFYING EXF	PERIENCE		
Name	Number	Return Rate	Yes	No	Def. Yes	Somewhat SO	Def. No	Def. Yes	Somewhat So	oaf. No		
Kekerton	o	٥%										
Quammarvitt - Baffin	o	o%										
Pangnirtung	2	4%	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0		
	1							ļ			I	••

Music labelingNumberReturn RateYesNoNoYesYesNoYesNoYesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesYes<	TERRITORIAL PARK - supervised	RETURN OF	RETURN OF QUESTIONNAIRES	Q #1 WASHRG	WASHROOM CLEAN	Q #2	COURTEOUS ATTENDANT	ENDANT	Q #3 AD	ADEQUATE WATER	0 #4	ADEQUATE WOOD	R WOOD
$ \left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Name/Location	Number	Return Rate	Yes		Def. Yes	Somewhat So	Def. No	Хе			Yes	No
	Long Lake Yellowknife	10	20%	ω		6	o	1				œ	0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Prelude Lake Yellowknife	14	28%	14		14		0	-			14	0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Queen Elizabeth Fort Smith	30	60%	25		29	1	o				30	0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Hay River	ч	2%	Т	0	T	0	0				ч	0
it d Highway 13 26% 13 0 0 0 13 12 1 it d Highway RETURN of QUESTIONNAIRES 2 #1 MASHROOM CLEAN 2 #2 GOD INTREPRETATION 2 #3 SATISFYING EIFERIENCE 1 iroupervised Number Number Pef. Somewhat Def.	Chuk, Inuvik	13	26%	6		12	1	0				11	Ч
TORIAL PARK RETURN OF QUESTIONNAIRES Q #1 MASHROOM CLEAN Q #2 GOOD INTREPRETATION Q #3 SATISFYING EIPEN Insupervised ReTURN OF QUESTIONNAIRES Q #1 MASHROOM CLEAN Q #2 GOOD INTREPRETATION Q #3 SATISFYING EIPEN Insupervised Number Return Rate Yes No No Pef. Somewhat Def. Somewhat Def. Somewhat Insupervised Number Return Rate Yes No No Yes So No Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Yes No Yes Somewhat Def. Somewhat Insupervised Insupervised Yes No Yes So No Yes So Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Insufficiend Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Insupervised Insufficiend Insufficiend Insupervised Insupervised Insupervi	Blackstone Liard Highway	13	26%	13		13	0	0				13	o
Mumber Return Rate Yes Def. Def. Def. Def. Def. Def. Def. Def. Somewhat Number Return Rate Yes Yes Yes So No Yes So ton 5 10% 3 1 3 2 0 5 0 arvitt Baffin 0 nirtung 6 12% 6 0 4 1 0 6 0	TERRITORIAL PARK - unsupervised	RETURN OF	• QUESTIONNAIRES		OOM CLEAN	Q #2	GOOD INTREPRE	TATION	Q #3 SA	LISPYING EIPE	RIENCE		
Baffin 0 5 0 5 0 6 12% 6 0 4 1 0 6 0 1	Name	Number	Return Rate	Yes	NO	Def. Yes	Somewhat So	Def. No	Def. Yes	Somewhat So	Def. No		
- Baffin 0	Kekerton	ъ	10%	ſ	ы	ĸ	2	o	ß	o	o		
6 12% 6 0 4 1 0 6 0		o	1	1	ł	ļ	ł	!	1	1	1		
_	Pangnirtung	9	12%	ę	o	4	1	0	9	o	0		

TABLE 200. TERRITORIAL PARKS - JULY

- 1

TABLE 2c, TERRITORIA

--

				t
TERRITORIAL PARR - supervised	RETURN OF	QUESTIONNAIRES	Q #1 WASHROOM	CLEAN
Name/_Cation	Number	Return Rate	Yes	No
Long Lake Yellowknife	36	72%	26	8
Prelude Lake Yellowknife	17	34%	14	3
Queen Elizabeth Fort Smith	24	48%	24	0
Hay River	o	0%		
Chuk, Inuvik	14	28%	13	1
Blackstone Liard Highway	13	26%	13	0
TERRITORIAL PARK - unsupervised	RETURN OF	F QUESTIONNAIRES	Q #1 WASHROOM	CLEAN
Name	Number	 Return Rate	Yes	No
Kekerton -	2	4%	2	0
Quammarvitt — Baffin	o	0%		
Pangnirtung	14	28%	13	1
I	I		I	I

TERRITORIAL PARK - supervised	RETURN OF	QUESTIONNAIRES	Q #1 WASHROOM	(CLEAN	Q #2 CC	OURTEOUS ATT	ENDANT	Q #3 ADEQU	ATE WATER	Q #4 Adequat	E WOOD
Name/Location	Number	Return Rate	Yes	No	Def. Yes	Somewhat SO	Def. No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Long Lake Yellowknife	53	35.%	36	14	43	6	3	42	10	47	4
Prelude Lake Yellowknife	40	27.%	36	4	39	1	0	38	1	40	0
Queen Elizabeth Fort Smith	68	45.%	62	3	66	1	0	67	0	65	0
Hay River	15	10.%	12	2	14	0	0	14	0	12	2
Chuk , Inuvik	71	47.%	62	8	69	2	0	70	1	59	1
Blackstone Liard Highway	36	24.%	34	0	34	2	0	33	2	34	0
TERRITORIAL PARR - unsupervised	RETURN OF	QUESTIONNAIRES	Q #1 WASHROOM	1 USAN	Q #2 0	300D INTREPRE	TATION	Q #3 SATISFY	ING EXPERI	ENCE	
Name	Number	Return Rate	Yes	No	Def. Yes	Somewhat SO	Def. No			Def. No	
Kekerton	7	5.%	5	1	5	2	0	7 ()	0	
Quammarvitt — Baffin	o	0.%									
Pangnirtung	22	15.%	20	1	17	2	0	22 0)	0	

TABLE 2d, TERRITORIAL PARKS - ENTIRE SUMMER

9 -

..../10

Interpretations:

There are no similar **studies** or reports **of** this **nature for at least the N.W.T. information centree and campgrounds.** Therefore, benchmarks for comparison and judgments of the findings are lacking; and, interpretations are certainly subjective.

On the whole the information **centres** and **campgrounds** received favorable marks from their users.

To some extent the information **centres** received fewer poor marks than did the campgrounds.

Observations are too few for certainty; but, it did appear that as the summer progressed fewer poor marks were recorded. This is somewhat clear for the information centres; but, the situation for campgrounds seems to be barely so. This is somewhat clear for the

One campground stands out for its poorer than average performance. Lake (Fred Henne) Territorial Park near **Yellowknife** recorded what are likely less than desirable scores in all categories, i.e. , entire summer negative scores of : washrooms - 14

- attendant 9 water - 10 wood - 4

Conclusions:

Some patterne of satisfaction/dissatisfaction were detected and it is hopeful establishment operators were able to put the information to use in altering operating procedures. In addition, suggestions regarding capital improvements may be valuable.

Copies of any or all returned questionnaires are available to the Headquartera and Regional officers of the Department.

The question arises of whether it is worthwhile to do this survey again in 1990. Would other questions and/or other categories of possible responses be more useful?

Possibly fewer questionnaires should be distributed; and, whatever the case, a better return rate should be aimed for.

As a fairer test of the entire stint periods (months) **possibly** queetionnaires should distributed throughout all days of the months. Such a sample design would be more complicated for the staff of establishment to administer.

Feedback from the regional offices with regard to this survey and this report is requested.

> Keith Thompson Coordinator, Market Research TravelArctic

APPENDIX "A"

VISITOR INFORMATION CENTRE QUESTIONNAIRE

Dear Visitor:

. .

The Government of the Northwest Territories wishes to determine your opinion of the information centre which you have visited. Please take a moment to check off the following questionnaire and return it in the sealed envelope to the information centre attendant or place in the mail (postage hae been paid).

Thank you.

NO

NO

1. (a) Did you or someone in your party use the washrooms?



(b) If "yes", did you find them acceptably clean and well?

YES	

YES

2. Was the information centre attendant courteous and helpful?

DEFINITELY	SOMEWHAT	DEFINITELY
YES	so	NOT

3. Did you find the travel information brochures provided to be helpful and adequate?



4. Please add your comments about any aspects of the information centre.

Please return to:

TRAVELARCTIC GOVERNMENT OF THE N.W.T. YELLOWKNIFE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES CANADA XIA 2L9

TERRITORIAL PARK QUESTIONNAIRE

Dear Park User:

The Government of the Northwest Territories wishes to determine your opinion of the Territorial park that you have visited. Please take a moment to check off the following questionnaire and return it in the sealed envelope to the park attendant or place in the mail (postage has been paid).

Thank you.

NO

DEFINITELY

NOT

NO

- 1. Did you find the washroom facilities clean and well stocked?
 - YES

- 2. Was the park attendant courteous and helpful?
 - DEFINITELY YES

.....

- SONEWHAT SO
- 3. Was the water supply adequate?
 - YES



- 4. Was the wood supply adequate?
 - YES NO
- 5. Please add your comments about any aspect of the park.

Please return to:

TRAVELARCTI C GOVERNMENT OF THE N.W.T. YELLOWKNIFE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES CANADA X1A 2L9

APPENDIX "C"

TERRITORIAL PARR QUESTIONNAIRE

Dear Park Visitor:

The Government of the Northwest Territories wishes to determine your opinion of the Territorial park you have visited. Please take a moment to check off the following questionnaire and return it in the sealed envelope to the person who distributed this paper, or place in the mail (postage has been paid).

1. Did you find the washroom facilities clean and well stocked?

[
	YES	

-

2. Did the interpretation program (signs, brochures) explain the park well?

DEFINITELY	
YES	

SONEWHAT
so

DEFINITELY NOT

NO

3. was your visit to the park a satisfying experience?

DEFINITELY	SOMEWHAT	DEFINITELY
YES	so	NOT

4. Please add your comments about any other aspect of the park.

Please return to:

TRAVELARCTI C GOVERNMENT OF TSE N.W.T. YELLOWKNIFE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES CANADA XIA 219