

Dempster Highway Exit Survey - Summer 1985

Type of Study: Statistics/surveys Tourism,
Date of Report: 1986
Author: Acres International Limited

Catalogue Number: 11-55-105

11-55-105

DEMPSTER HIGHWAY EXIT SURVEY

- summer 1985

Prepared for:

DIVISION OF TOURISM AND PARKS

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM

Government of the Northwest Territories

Yellowknife, NWT

Prepared by:
ACRES INTERNATIONAL LIMITED
4th Floor, 845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, British Columbia
V6B 2P4

March, 1986

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

		Page
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1 1-1 1-1
2*0	METHODOLOGY**.*00.0	2-1 2-1 2-1 2-2
3*0	ANALYSIS OF DATA 3.1 Visitor Demographics 3.2 Trip Characterists 3.3 Trip Motivation 3.4 Comments * *	3-1 3-1 3-4 3-12 3-16
4.0	<pre>IMPLICATIONS OF ANALYSIS</pre>	4-1 4-1 4-2 4-3
	and Services	4 - 4 4 - 5
5.0	CRITIQUE OF METHODOLOGY 5.1 Survey Design	5-1 5-1 5-2 5-3
APPENI	<u>DICES</u>	
APPENI	DIX A - Survey Form	
APPENI	DIX B - Detailed Computer Tabulations	
APPENI	OIX C - Peel River Ferry Traffic Data (July, August and September, 1985)	
APPENI	DIX D - Estimation of Visitor Vehicles for Month of June	
ADDENID	IV F _ Comments From Ouestionnaires	

LIST **OF** TABLES

Table Number	Description	Page
2 - 1	Summary of Interviewer Stints	2 - 3
3-1	Origin of Groups Interviewed*	3-1
3-2	Party Size Distribution	3-2
3-3	Occupations and Income Levels	3-3
3-4	Age Distribution	3-4
3-5	Reason for Visiting N.W.T	3-5
3-6	Visitor Expenditures	3-6
3-7	Participation in Activities	3-7
3-8	Accommodation	3-8
3-9	Previous Visis to N.W.T. and	0 0
<i>3</i> /	Final Destination of Current Trip	3-9
3-10	Decision to Undertake Trip	3-11
3-11	Differences Between Yukon and N.W.T.	3-12
3-11	Major Motivation for Trip	3-12
3-12	Plans for Future Trips to N.W.T. *.	3-14
3-13	Comparison of Pre-Trip Expectations	J-1 4
2-14	With Actual Experiences	3-15
3 - 1 5	Rating of Facilites and Services	3-15
3-15	Racing of racinites and services	3-10
4-1	Total Visitor Expenditures	4 - 3
5-1	Review of Interviewer's Performance ***	5-3
5-2	Comparison of Design versus	
- -	Actual Sample Size	5 - 3

100 INTRODUCTION

. •

1.0 **INTRODUCTION**

1.1 <u>Background</u>

As part of an on-going program to develop and up-date information about arctic tourist travel patterns, the Government of the Northwest Territories' Department of Economic Development and Tourism commissioned Acres International Limited to undertake a survey of non-residents as they exited the Northwest Territories by the Dempster Highway during July, August and September of 1985. While the summer operating season of the Dempster Highway begins in early June, the survey only covered the period July to September as a result of the contract for the study not being awarded until mid-June. Field work took place during these three months and findings were extrapolated to include June.

This survey is a continuation of a program to identify travel characteristics, visitor profiles, and motivational factors. The results of this program will be used in the development of future tourism policy.

1.2 Study Objectives

The overall objective of the study was to generate specific information about non-resident visitors to the Northwest Territories during the summer of 1985.

Three main categories of data were collected, namely:

- o demographic information;
- o trip characteristics; and
- o motivational factors.

2. 0 METHODOLOGY

•••

2.0 <u>METHODOLOGY</u>

2.1 <u>Survey Design</u>

In consultation with the Department of Economic Development and Tourism, Acres designed a survey form for use in the study, a copy of which is appended to this report.

The survey form contains 18 questions divided into two sections, namely:

o Section 1: Traveler Profile; and

o Section 2: Trip Motivation.

Section 1 contains 12 questions addressing such matters as province, state or country of residence, size of party, occupation, trip expenditures, participation in activities and type of accommodation used.

Section 2 contains 6 questions dealing with trip motivation, including reasons for making the trip, the possibility of returning to the area, and perceptions of the NWT.

In addition, the survey form contains space for written comments.

2.2 Survey Delivery

The survey form was administered by a **local field** interviewer at the Peel River Ferry crossing on the Dempster Highway. **The** interviewer, a resident of Fort McPherson, was trained and managed by Acres.

The interview station was on the east side of the Peel River and as such, the interviewer was able to approach all non-resident, southbound passenger vehicles waiting for the ferry. The interviewer was instructed to ask the driver to complete the appropriate parts of the survey during the course of the ferry trip (approximately 10 minutes) and to return them to the interviewer.

. · •

If the survey was not complete by the end of the ferry trip, the driver was asked to spend a few minutes on the west side of the river prior to resuming his journey.

Mailback questionnaires were not considered appropriate due to the relatively low traffic volume, the expected low response rate and the inherent delays of this survey method.

2.3 Sample Design

The sample design was based on several factors, including:

- o 1984 Peel River Ferry monthly traffic volumes;
- o an assumption that traffic is comprised of 50 percent resident and 50 percent non-resident vehicles;
- o an allowable error of +10 percent; and
- o a 95 percent confidence limit, standard for this type of survey.

Based on these factors, the required number of surveys per month were as follows:

<u>Month</u>	Southbound Vehicles	Southbound Non-Resident Vehicles	Sample Size
July August September	870 788 4 70	435 394 235	85 85 79
		TOTAL	249

~ · . · · •

Using the expected volume of ferry traffic, the total hours of interviewing required per month were:

Month	Total Estimated Survey Hours
July August	90.3 97.3
September	138.3

The interviewing stints were arranged to avoid systematic bias stemming from the timing of data collection. All hours of ferry operation (9:00 a.m. to 1:00 a.m.), all days of the week, long holiday weekends and the days immediately before and after long holiday weekends were included in the interview stints.

Eleven interviewing stints were scheduled, resulting in 330 hours of interviewing time. The interview schedule is presented in Table 2-1.

TABLE 2-1
SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW STINTS

Stint No.	<u>Date</u>	Shift	Hours
1	July 8	1500 - 0100 hrs	10
	July 9	1000 - 2200 hrs	12
	July 10	0900 - 1700 hrs	8
2	July 17	1500 - 0100 hrs	10
	July 18	1000 - 2200 hrs	12
	July 19	0900 - 1700 hrs	8
3	July 26	1500 - 0100 hrs	10
	July 27	1000 - 2200 hrs	12
	July 28	0900 - 1700 hrs	a
4	August 1	1500 - 0100 hrs	10
	August 2	1000 - 2200 hrs	12
	August 3	0900 - 1700 hrs	8
5	August 7	1500 - 0100 hrs	10
	August 8	1000 - 2200 hrs	12
	August 9	0900 - 1700 hrs	8
6	August 18	1500 - 0100 hrs	10
	August 19	1000 - 2200 hrs	12
	August 20	0900 - 1700 hrs	8
7	August 31	1500 - 0100 hrs	10
	September 1	1000 - 2200 hrs	12
	September 2	0900 - 1700 hrs	8
8	September 7	1500 - 0100 hrs	10
	September 8	1000 - 2200 hrs	12
	September 9	0900 - 1700 hrs	8
9	September 11	1500 - 0100 hrs	10
	September 12	1000 - 2200 hrs	12
	September 13	0900 - 1700 hrs	8
10	September 17	1500 - 0100 hrs	10
	September 18	1000 - 2200 hrs	12
	September 19	0900 - 1700 hrs	8
11	September 28	1500 - 0100 hrs	10
	September 29	1000 - 2200 hrs	12
	September 30	0900 - 1700 hrs	8
		TOTAL HOURS	330

3.0 ANALYSIS OF DATA

* * . . * *

3*O ANALYSIS OF DATA

The information **collected** from the questionnaires was compiled and analyzed using the spreadsheet program LOTUS 1-2-3. The analysis of this data is presented in three parts, **namely**, visitor **demographics**, **trip** characteristics and motivational factors. The data is shown in summary form below. Detailed information can be obtained from the appropriate computer print-outs in Appendix B.

e - , - • •

3.1 <u>Visitor Demographics</u>

Demographic and related information on visitors to the Northwest Territories is summarized in Tables 3-1 to 3-4.

Table 3-1 - Place of Residence

This table shows the place of residence for all the non-resident parties surveyed. According to these figures 58 percent of the tourists are Canadian, 38 percent are American and 4 percent are from overseas.

Ferry traffic data obtained from the Division of Tourism and Parks is presented in Appendix C. While a rigorous comparison of this data compared against the survey data has not been undertaken, a cursory examination indicates that the questionnaires sampled a typical cross-section of parties by province and state. Slightly over 50 percent of the surveyed visitors were from Alberta, British Columbia, Ontario and the Yukon, as expected. The states of Alaska and Texas provided the strongest representation from the U.S.

TABLE 3-1
ORIGIN OF GROUPS INTERVIEWED

Place of Residence		Number	Percent
Yukon Alberta British Columbia Saskatchewan Manitoba Ontario Quebec New Brunswick Newfoundland		12 13 21 2 3 8 1 1	
	Sub-total	62	58
Alaska Arizona California Colorado Connecticut Florida Georgia Illinois Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Missouri Montana New Jersery Ohio Oregon Texas Washington Wisconsin		5 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 3 1 2 3 3 3 4 5 7 7 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	
	Sub-Total	41	38
Germany Ho 11 and Norway		2 1 1	
	Sub-Total	4	4
	TOTAL	107	

Table 3-2 - Party Size

This table shows the distribution of party size interviewed. Based on the number of parties and the total number of visitors represented by those parties, the average party size has been calculated at 2.84 people.

--, -- •

In six instances, the number of people in the party was not indicated. This has been corrected by assuming that each of those parties contained two people, the most common party size.

TABLE 3-2
PARTY SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Party Size (persons)	Number of Parties	Number of People
1	14	1 4
2	50	100
3	17	51
4	15	60
5	5	25
6	2	12
7	ī	7
8	0	0
9	0	0
10	0	0
11	1	11
12	2	24
12	$\overline{107}$	304

Table 3-3 - Occupations and Income Levels

Approximately 68 percent of the people responding to the question on occupation listed themselves as professionals, skilled workers or retired. Managers accounted for

another 8 percent, with the remaining 24 percent fairly evenly split amongst the other listed occupations.

_ - - - - , - - •

In terms of income levels, the survey showed that nearly one-half of the respondents had incomes in excess of \$40,000 per annum. Of those whose salary exceeded \$40,000 per annum, the most frequently represented occupations included professionals, managers/executives and skilled workers.

TABLE 3-3

OCCUPATIONS AND INCOME LEVELS

				Income (\$x1000)		
Occupati on	Number	<u>0-</u> 1o	10-20	20-30	30-40	40-50	50+
Farming/Forestry	2					1	1
Manager/Executive	9				1	1	4
Millworker/Labourer	5			2			2
Offi ce/Cl eri cal	0						
Professi onal	30		1	1	7	5	12
Artist/Writer	3	1			1		
Retiree	25	1	6	5	4	2	2
Sal es/Servi ce	2						1
Skilled Worker	18		1	5	2	3	3
Student	3		2				
Techni ci an	4			1	1	1	
Entertai ner	0						
Other/Unknown	6		2	3	1	2	1
TOTAL	107	2	12	17	17	15	26
		(2%)	(13%)	(19%)	(19%)	(17%) (3	30%)

. .3

- - - , - - •

Table 3-4 - Age Distribution

Table 3-4 shows the age distribution for those responding to age inquiries in the survey. Based on this information the average age of visitors is in the range of 35 to 40 years. The large number of retirees noted in Table 3-3 are reflected in the figures for age distribution, distribution, with 23 percent being over 55 years of age. In general, the survey age structure is skewed to the older age groups compared to the general population.

TABLE 3-4

AGE DISTRIBUTION

Age Group (years)	Number of People	Percent of Tot al
0 - 1 2	35	12.0
13 - 18	12	4.1
19 - 24	17	5.8
25 - 39	89	3005
40 - 54	71	24.3
55 or over	68	23. 3
	292	

3.2 <u>Trip Characteristics</u>

The trip characteristics are summarized in Tables 3-5 to 3-11 and are discussed below.

Table 3-5 - Reason for Visiting NWT

With a 78 percent response rate, the major reason for visiting the Northwest Territories was for pleasure

purposes only. Inclusion of trips made for pleasure as well as either business or personnel purposes increases this to 87 percent.

The second most common reason for visiting the Northwest Territories is business, accounting for 10 percent of all those surveyed.

TABLE 3-5

REASON FOR VISITING NWT

Reason	Number of Groups	Percent
Business Only	11	10. 3
Pleasure Only	84	78. 5
Personal Only	1	0.9
Business/Pleasure	4	3.7
Business/Personal	2	1. 9
Pleasure/Personal	5	4.7
	107	

Table 3-6 - Tourist Expenditures

As **shown** in Table 3-6, the average per person expenditure for those responding to the survey question on **expendi**tures is \$20'3.45. However, the average expenditure varies significantly between business travelers, those visiting the NWT for pleasure only and all other travelers. In general, the business traveler spends at least three times as much as the tourist.

These figures can be used to generate information on total expenditures by non-residents visiting the Northwest Territories in the summer of 1985.

TABLE 3-6
VISITOR EXPENDITURES

w . , . · •

Reason	Number of Parties	Number of People	Total Expenditure	Expenditure Per Person
Pleasure Only	77	213	\$ 32,026	\$ 150.36
Business Only	10	19	\$ 9,498	\$ 499.89
All Other	11	48	\$ 17,122	\$ 356.71
	TOTAL	280	\$ 58,646	\$ 209.45

<u>Table 3-7 - Participation in Activities</u>

Each party was asked to indicate the types of activities they participated in while in the Northwest Territories. These activities are shown in ranked order in Table 3-7.

As can be seen, five activities dominate the list, camping, shopping for crafts, visiting museums/historic sites, nature study and fishing. These can all be categorized as either outdoor or heritage related, perhaps indicating how most tourists view the Northwest Territories.

TABLE 3-7
PARTICIPATION IN ACTIVITIES

Activity	Number of Participating Parties
Camping	71
Shopping for Crafts	63
Visiting Museums/Historic Sites	51
Nature Study	37
Fishing	22
Hiking	12
Festivals/Local Events	11
Business	10
Visiting Friends/Relatives	9
Flying	7
Sightseeing	4
Swimming	3
Canoeing	3
Driving	2
Photography	1

Table 3-8 - Accommodation

Information was gathered as to the types of accommodation used by the survey respondents during their stay in the Territories. Camping was by far the most popular form of accommodate ion, with 58 percent of all groups using campgrounds. This increases to 73 percent if those groups using campers are assumed to have also stayed in campgrounds.

The average length of stay varies significantly with the type of accommodation utilized. Hotels and motels, the most expensive form of accommodation, recorded the shortest length of stay, 1.68 nights per group, while those groups staying with friends or relatives stayed almost twice as long, 3.33 nights. The "other" category shows a very high average length of stay, 6.67 nights per

_ ~ ~ * ~ . ~ * •

group. However, this is not considered to be statistically significant as it primarily represents one group which stayed 18 nights at a bushcamp.

_ ~ ~ * ~ , ~ ~ •

A number of groups utilized more than one type of accommodation during their stay. This resulted in a lower average length of stay than would otherwise have occurred. Correcting the analysis for this factor increases the average length of stay from 2.71 to 3.10 nights, but does not affect the average length of stay in each individual type of accommodation.

TABLE 3-8

ACCOMMODATION

Type of Accommodation	Number of Groups	<u>Percent</u>	Total Nights	Average Length of Stay
Hotel/Motel	19	18	32	1.68
Campers	15	15	48	3.20
Relatives/Friends	6	6	20	3.33
Campgrounds	60	58	159	2.65
Other	3	3	20	6.67
TOTAL	<u> 103¹</u>	100%	279	2.711

1. Thirteen groups stayed in more than one type of accommodation during their trip to the N.W.T. Correcting the data for this factor increases the average length of stay to 3.10 nights from 2.71 nights.

The second secon

Table 3-9 - Previous Visits to NWT and Final Destination of Current Trip

Two separate pieces of information are contained in Table 3-9, the final destination, and an indication of the repeat visits to the NWT.

Inuvik was the final destination of 73 of the 107 groups, or 68 percent. Inuvik was more popular as a final destination for first time visitors than repeat visitors, 74 percent vs 56 percent.

For those groups that had previously visited the Northwest Territories, the average number of previous visits was 6.3. The accuracy of this figure may be somewhat suspect due to the inclusion of data from one survey respondent who indicated 99 previous trips.

TABLE 3-9 PREVIOUS VISITS TO N.W.T AND FINAL DESTINATION OF CURRENT TRIP

<u>Destination</u>	Number of Groups	First <u>Visit</u>	Visited <u>Previously</u>	Number of Previous Visits
Inuvik	73	54	19	156
Other	34	19	15	59
TOTAL	107	73	34	215

Average number of previous visits

6. 3

• · • · • · •

. •

Table 3-10 - Decision to Undertake Trip

The decision to visit the Northwest Territories was for the most part made at home, as shown in Table 3-10. Eighty-two percent of the groups responding to this question made the decision at home, while the remaining 18 percent made the decision on the road. Slightly over one-half of those making the decision while on the road, (i.e. 10 percent), made that decision while in the Yukon.

In terms of when the decision to visit the Northwest Territories was made, and excluding those decisions made while on the road, analysis of the data reveals the following:

Date of Decision	<u> </u>
1985 - August	2.5 🥎
- July	11.3 22.6
- June	لم 8.8
- Other	33.8
1984	31*3
1983	3.8
1982	2.5
Pre-1982	6.3

As shown, 22.6 percent of the decisions were made during the course of the summer of 1985, 33.8 percent in early 1985, 31.3 percent in 1984 and 12.6 percent prior to 1984. From this data, it would appear that most visitors planned their trip, in the 18 months prior to actually visiting the Northwest Territories.

TABLE 3-10
DECISION TO UNDERTAKE TRIP

(Number of Groups)

Date of Decision	Home	Place of I On the Road	Decision Yukon	<u>Total</u>
1985 - September - August - July - June - Other (or not specifie	0 2 9 7	0 2 4 1 1	1 3 4 1	1 7 17 9 29
1984	25	0	0	25
1983	3	0	0	3
1982	2	0	0	2
1980	2	0	0	2
1976	1	0	0	1
1975	1	0	0	1
1955	_1_	0	0	
TOTAL	80	8	10	98
Percent	(82)	(9)	(lo)	

Table 3-11 - Differences Between Yukon and NWT

Question No. 12 on the survey asked the visitor to note the differences they were aware of between the Yukon and the NWT. The results, as shown in Table 3-11, indicate that the two major differences noted were with respect to terrain and vegetation. This is not an unexpected response due to the qualities of that portion of the Northwest Territories being seen at the time by the visitor, (i.e. the Mackenzie Delta).

Close **to** one-third of the respondents noticed a difference in the people, and 15 percent noticed a difference in the wildlife.

Category	Number of Groups	Percent
Terrain	84	78.5
Vegetation	57	53.3
People	31	29.0
Wildlife	16	15.0
Roads	2	1. 9
Bugs	1	0. 9
Climate	1	0. 9
No Difference	5	4.7

303 Trip Motivation

This portion of the questionnaire was completed by those groups whose reason for visiting the Northwest Territories was not solely business. The purpose of the information gleaned from this portion is to determine the motivation for the trip, and the possibility of future visits. This information is summarized in Tables 3-12 to 3-15.

- 1 - 2 - 1

Table 3-12 - Motivation for Trip

The analysis of the information on the major motivation for the trip reveals several things. Personal interest was by for the major reason for the trip, as noted by nearly 70 percent of the respondents (see Table 3-12). The only other reason of may significance is a previous visit, accounting for nearly 11 percent.

Only 7.2 percent indicated that travel agents, printed at articles, advertisements, travel brochures or television programs were the motivating force behind the trip. This perhaps indicates a lack of effective promotion.

TABLE 3-12

MAJOR MOTIVATION FOR TRIP

Major Motivation	Number of <u>Groups</u>	Percent
Business	2	2.4
Sports Tournament	0	0
Previous Visit by Friends/Relatives	3	3.6
Friends/Relatives Residingin NWT	1	1.2
Previous Visit	9	10.8
Personal Interest	58	69.9
Travel Agent	0	0
Printed Articles/Advertisements	2	2.4
Travel Brochures	4	4.8
Television Programs	0	0
Other	4	4.8
TOTAL	83	

- 25

Table 3-13 - Plans for Future Trips to NWT

As shown in Table 3-13, 80 of the 88 respondents (91 percent) indicated that they would consider a future trip to the Northwest Territories. Of those 80 respondents, 34 (43 percent) indicate that they would revisit the Inuvik Region. In terms of timing, 21 respondents (26 percent) indicate that they would return in 1986.

Salar Salar Salar 🔸

This table also indicates that 26 of 79 respondents (33 percent) have previously visited Arctic regions other than Inuvik. This correlates closely with the information in Table 3-9, which shows that 34 of 107 respondents (32 percent) have previously visited the Northwest Territories.

TABLE 3-13

PLANS FOR FUTURE TRIPS TO NWT

	Number of Groups	
	Yes	No
Considering a Future visit?	80	8
Revisit Inuvik?	34	
Visit Other Area of NWT?	43	
Return in 1986?	21	54
Visited Arctic Previously?	26	53

Table 3-14 - Comparison of **Pre-Trip** Expectations with Actual Experiences

According to figures in this Table 3-14 pre-trip expectations were met or exceeded for visitors to the Northwest Territories. Seventy-two percent of all

respondents felt that their pre-trip expectations were met, 17.3 percent felt that they had initially underestimated the Northwest Territories, and 10.7 felt that their pre-trip expectations had not been met.

TABLE 3-14 COMPARISON OF PRE-TRIP EXPECTATIONS WITH ACTUAL EXPERIENCE

Comparison	Number of Groups	Percent
Pre-Trip Expectations Were Met	54	72.0
Overestimated the NWT	8	10.7
Underestimated the NWT	13	17.3
TOTAL	75	

Table 3-15 - Rating of Facilities and **Services**

In general, survey respondents seemed to be relatively satisfied with tourist facilities (restaurants, accommodation, etc.) and information services. of the respondents, 62.4 percent rated tourist facilities as being good or better and 69.8 rated informational services as being good or better. In general, satisfaction with informational services was slightly greater than for tourist facilities.

TABLE 3-15

.

RATING OF FACILITIES AND SERVICES

	Tourist Facilities		Tourist Information	
Rating	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Excellent	15	19.5	23	30.3
Good	33	42.9	30	39.5
Satisfactory	22	28.6	19	25.0
Poor	7	9.1	4	5.3
TOTALS	<u>77</u>		76	

3.4 Comments

Apart from asking a **series** of questions of the traveler, the questionnaire also provided space for comments about the traveler's visit to the Northwest Territories. Comments were entered on 31 questionnaires, and are shown in whole in Appendix D.

The comments can be broken down into six major categories, enjoyment of trip, road conditions, information, campgrounds and accommodations, people and other. The major comments are shown by category, with the number of people making those comments in brackets, as follows:

o <u>Enjoyment of Trip</u>

fully enjoyed the trip (12)

o Road Conditions

better roads required (4)

- 0 Information
 - hard time getting tourist information (2)
- Campgrounds Accommodation
 - campgrounds need to be improved (5)
 - more affordable accommodation is required (2)
- People
 - very friendly (4)
 unfriendly (1)
- 0 Other
 - food in Inuvik is expensive and poor (1)
 - no place to get ice or water in Inuvik (2)advertise (1)

 - less dust and smaller mosquitoes than the Yukon (1)
 - disappointed with lack of wildlife (1)

The number of comments listed above is greater than 31, as many people provided several different comments.

4.0 IMPLICATIONS OF ANALYSIS

4.0 <u>IMPLICATIONS OF ANALYSIS</u>

From the information received from the questionnaires, and outlined above, it is possible to do the following:

---,--

- o develop a typical visitor profile;
- o estimate expenditures for tourists arriving by motor vehicle;
- o estimate the probability of repeat visits;
- $_{\rm O}$ determine the types of attractions that appeal to tourists the most, and which require further development ; and
- o devise tourism promotion strategies.

4.1 <u>Visitor Profile</u>

If one were to construct a profile of the typical visitor to the Northwest Territories utilizing the Dempster Highway as a means of entry, one would find the following:

Origin: Most likely from B.C., Alberta, or the Yukon

Size of Party: 3

Occupation: Professional

Income: Over \$40,000 per annum

Age: 35-40 years old

Reason for Visiting: Pleasure

Expenditures: \$150 per person

Length of Stay: 3 nights

Accommodation: Campground

. - - - . . - - •

Activities: Camping, Shopping for Crafts, Visiting Museums/Historical Sites

Previous Visit: 0

Time of Decision: 1 year ago

Place of Decision: At home

Motivation: Personnel Interest

Future Visits: Yes, but after 1986

Satisfaction: Enjoyed the trip immensely

Facilities and Services: Good

While this profile has, by necessity, ignored much of the information collected, particularly about occupation, income, origin and age, it remains a good indication of the person that visits the Inuvik region via the Dempster Highway.

In terms of occupation, retirees and skilled workers are also prevalent in the sample. The average income for these groups is lower, and the age of the retirees is higher.

In terms of origin, the visitor is almost equally as likely to be from the U.S. as from B.C., Alberta and the Yukon combined.

4.2 Visitor Expenditures

Based on the information contained in this study, and applying it to the total number of non-N.W.T visitors to the Inuvik Region that entered via the Dempster Highway it is possible to determine total visitor expenditures for the months of June, July, August

and September, 1985. For July, August and September the number of vehicles is known from ferry logs and for June (in absence of ferry log records) an extrapolated figure is used. These calculations are shown in Table 4-1.

e , . · •

According to this data, approximately 800,000 was spent in the Inuvik Region by 3,800 visitors during the months of June, July, August and September, 1985, this period comprising the effective summer season (ferry operation from early June into October).

4.3 Probability of Repeat Visits

The value of attracting repeat visits is substantial when one considers the percentage of respondents indicating that they would consider future trips to the Northwest Territories (91 percent), the per person expenditure (\$209.45), and the number of rePeat visits per person.

Even if only 50 percent of those indicating a desire to revisit the Northwest Territories actually return, the benefit is substantial, as indicated below. This analysis uses vehicle counts for the period June to September 1985, 2.8 people per vehicle and the factors listed above.

1358 vehicles x 2.8 people/vehicle x 0.91 x 0.50 x \$209.45/person/trip x 6.3 trips = \$2,283,600

If all the assumptions are correct, repeat visits will be worth \$2,283,600 from those people that visited the Northwest Territories via the Dempster Highway this past summer. The marginal benefit to the Northwest Territories from attracting one more visitor is \$209.45 for the initial trip and \$600 for future trips $(2,283,600 \div 1,357 \div 2.8)$.

TABLE 4-1

TOTAL EXPENDITURES FOR VISITORS

ENTERING NWT VIA **DEMPSTER** HIGHWAY

<u>Month</u>	Number of Vehicles Peel River	People Per Vehicle	Expendi ture Per Person	Total Expenditure (\$)
June	400 e	2. 8	209. 45	234, 584
July	528	2. 8	209. 45	309, 651
August	338	2. 8	209. 45	198, 223
September	92	2. 8	209. 45	53, 954
			TOTAL	\$ 796, 412
entire summer	1, 358			

Notes:

- 1. Numbers of vehicles for July, August and September are obtained from licence plate tabulations by ferry crews. See Appendix C.
- 2. Number of vehicles for June is estimated from ferry log. See Appendix D.
- 3. People per vehicle is determined from Table 3-2.
- 4. Expenditure per person is determined from Table 3-6.

4.4 <u>Development of Visitor Attractions and Services</u>

The analysis undertaken in Section 3.0 reveals several things about the major activities undertaken by tourists and the services utilized. These are that:

.

- o camping is the major form of accommodation;
- o camping is also the major activity in which tourists participate;
- o the other major activities pursued are shopping for crafts, visiting museums/historic sites, nature study and fishing; and
- o visitors tend to be aware of terrain, vegetation and people more than other things.

Based on this analysis, it is readily apparent that the major attraction of the Inuvik Region is the countryside itself. While this is hardly surprising, it is a point that must be remembered when formulating tourism policies and strategies. If tourists want to experience the "great outdoors", a level and quality of service must be provided that meets their expectations. In this vein, and with reference to some of the comments made earlier, campgrounds should be upgraded. The development of areas for fishing, hiking, canoeing and nature study should also be reviewed as a means of attracting tourists.

Apart from outdoor activities, shopping for local crafts and visits to museums/historic sites are also popular. Promotion of the region should also include reference to these types of activities and further development of facilities for them should be considered.

4.5 Tourism Promotion Strategies

The preceding analysis can be used in the formulation of tourism promotion strategies for the Northwest Territories. While the survey only addressed one transportation corridor, when used with previously collected data, and future surveys, it should provide very good guidance to tourism authorities.

.

From this study, the Department of Tourism and Parks will have a good indication of visitor profiles, visitor expenditures, visitor activities, the probability of repeat visits and areas which may need improvement or further development.

The final part of any tourism promotion strategy is to decide on the medium for generating interest in the Northwest Territories as a place to visit. Based on the results of the survey shown in Table 3-12, there appears to be several areas where efforts could be made to improve visibility. These include travel agents, printed advertisements, travel brochures, and perhaps even television programs and advertisements.

5*O CRITIQUE OF METHODOLOGY

e - , . . •

5.0 CRITIQUE OF METHODOLOGY

5.1 Survey Design

The questionnaire used in this survey was, for the most part, **very** successful in eliciting the information desired from visitors to the Northwest Territories. However, the following changes should be made to the questionnaire if it is to be used in future surveys.

- o There should be a space on each form for the date. During the computer coding of the forms it was sometimes difficult to assign a precise date.
- The age groups utilized on the questionnaire should be re-aligned to reflect the large numbers of visitors in the "25 to 39 years", "40 to 54 years" and "55 year and over" age brackets. Smaller increments would help to further define the profile of the typical tourist.
- O The word "member(s)" should be placed after each blank in the question on age to reinforce the intent of the question, which is to determine the number of party members in each age group. On several question-naires, the respondents merely placed a check mark beside the appropriate age group, and as a result it was impossible to determine party size.
- O The word "night(s)" should be placed after each blank in the question on type of accommodation utilized to reinforce the intent of the question, which is to determine the number of nights spent in each type of accommodation. As with the question on age, several respondents merely placed a check mark beside the type of accommodation utilized.
- O The question on accommodation should also be reworded slightly to remove what could be confusion over the difference between "campers" and "campgrounds". Those should perhaps be referred to as "recreational vehicles, campers, trailers" and "tents".

5.2 Survey Delivery

In terms of response rate, the survey was very successful, with 104 of 135 parties (79 percent) approached by the interviewer agreeing to complete the survey. This type of direct approach, is virtually always more successful than other methods such as the mail-back questionnaire.

Despite the good response rate, two problems were encountered, one of which is fairly minor, and the other of which affects the statistical reliability of the survey results.

The minor problem encountered was the occasional situation where the driver of a vehicle did not finish the questionnaire in the course of the ferry trip, and the interviewer was obliged to wait on the west side of the River to obtain the completed questionnaire. The interviewer would thus miss one ferry trip and the non-resident vehicles carried on that trip.

The major problem resulted from the difficulty of managing and reviewing the work of a temporary employee from an office 1,500 miles distant. According to the numbers presented in Table 5-1, as the project proceeded, the interviewer missed more and more of the non-resident vehicles that should have been captured by the survey.

The actions of the interviewer were monitored by checking the number of completed surveys against the design monthly sample size and the daily tallies provided by the ferry skipper. In the month of July, 68 surveys were collected. Compared to the design **sample** size of 85,

this was reasonable, particularly given the fact that by accounting for the 11 refusals to complete the survey, the interviewer approached 79 vehicles.

In August and September, the capture rate for the interviewer declined. However, the results of these two months could not be gauged until the ferry tallies were received, which for August, was in late September, and for September, was in mid-November.

If similar work is to be undertaken in the future, it is recommended that greater control be exercised over the actions of the interviewer. If possible, this could best be achieved obtaining records of actual ferry traffic more expeditiously, from the ferry operators. This would allow for rapid checking of survey reliability.

5.3 <u>Statistical Reliability of Sample</u>

Table 5-2 provides a comparison of the estimated required sample size determined prior to the survey, the actual required sample size determined on actual traffic volumes subsequent to the survey, and the actual sample size.

As can be seen from this **Table**, the **actual** required sample size is smaller than that initially calculated, due to a smaller traffic volume and inclusion of actual figures on the non-resident proportion of total traffic. The actual sample size is substantially smaller then was planned due to reasons outlined in Section 5.2 of this report .

The required monthly sample size was calculated such that one month's data by itself could stand alone and be

TABLE 5-1

REVIEW OF INTERVIEWER', S PERFORMANCE

.

	Number of Non-Res		
<u>Month</u>	Ferry Records	Interviewer	Completed Surveys
July - 9 days	192	79	68
		(41%)	(35%)
August- 10 days	130	43	36
		(33%)	(28%)
September - 14 days	42	13	3
		(31%)	(7%)
TOTALS	364	135	107
		(37%)	(29%)

45

TABLE 5-2

COMPARISON OF DESIGN VS. ACTUAL SAMPLE SIZE

<u>Month</u>	1984 Non-Resident Vehicles	Estimated Required Sample Size (see Note 1)	1985 Non-Resi dent Traffi c	Proportion of Total Traffic (See Note 2)	Actual Required Sample Size (See Note 3)	Actual Sample Size	Standard Error of Estimate (See Note 4)
July	435	85	528	.6271	81	68	<u>+</u> 11%
August	394	85	338	.4019	83	36	<u>+</u> 16%
September	235	79	92	.1752	50	3	<u>+</u> 43%
TOTALS	1,064	249	958		214	107	

Notes:

- 1. Based on a standard error of estimate of +10 percent, a confidence level of 95 percent and the assumption that 50 percent of the traffic are non-resident vehicles.
- 2. Calculated from figures in Appendix c.
- 3. Based on a standard error of estimate of ± 10 percent, a confidence level of 95 percent, and the actual non-resident proportion of traffic.
- 4. Calculated with a 95 percent confidence evel .

compared to data from other months. Thus, with the expected monthly traffic volumes, the monthly sample would have a standard error of estimate of ±10 percent with a 95 percent confidence level. As shown in Table 5-2, at a 95 percent confidence level, none of the actual monthly samples meet the standard error of estimate criteria. While July is very close, August and September data is less statistically significant.

While on a monthly basis the sample size does not meet our present statistical parameters, by structuring the sample size as was done, a certain redundancy was built in. This preserves and in fact, enhances the statistical significance of the data if the results are considered in an aggregate rather than monthly format.

Based on the total number of completed questionnaires (107), the total traffic volume (2,208 vehicles), the volume of non-resident traffic (958 vehicles) and a 95 percent confidence level, the standard error of estimate is +9.2 percent, well within the pre-set limit of ± 10.0 percent.

Whileit would be useful to be able to compare the data on a month by month basis, the use of the data in aggregate form does not detract from the validity and statistical significance of the the analysis.

.....

APPENDIX A -Survey Form

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES TRAVEL QUESTIONNAIRE*

.

SECTION 1. TRAVELLER PROFILE

1.	IN WHAT PROVINCE OR STATE DO YOU LIV	E?
	IF OUTSIDE NORTH AMERICA, IN WHAT CO	UNTRY DO YOU LIVE?
2.	DID YOU VISIT THE NWT FOR PLEASURE, B check one only.)	USINESS OR PERSONAL REASONS? (Please
	Business Only Pleasure On	aly c1 Personal Only c1
	Business/Pleasure •1 Business/Pe	rsonal c1 Pleasure/Personal •1
3.	HOW MANY MEMBERS OF YOUR TRAVEL PART GROUPS ?	Y BELONG TO <u>EACH OF</u> THE FOLLOWING AGE
	12 years or less	25 to 39 years
	13 to 19 years	40 to 54 years
	19 to 24 years	55 years or over
4.	DURING YOUR STAY IN THE NWT, HOW MAN FOLLOWING TYPES OF ACCOMMODATION?	NY NIGHTS DID YOU SPEND IN EACH OF THE
	Hotels, Motels	Campgrounds
	Campers	Other
	With friends or relatives	
5.	APPROXIMATELY HOW MUCH DID YOU AND THIS TRIP TO THE NWT?	YOUR TRAVEL PARTY SPEND ALTOGETHER ON
		\$ Canadian Dollars
6.	WAS INUVIK YOUR FINAL DESTINATION OR LOCATIONS DURING YOUR VISIT?	DID YOU PROCEED TO OTHER ARCTIC
	Inuvik was the final destination	
	Proceeded to other Arctic locations	
7.	HAVE YOU OR A MEMBER OF YOUR" HOUSEH TRIP?	OLD EVER VISITED THE NWT ON A PREVIOUS
	No	Yes
	IF YES, HOW MANY PREVIOUS TRIPS HAVE THE NWT?	E YOU OR YOUR HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS MADE TO
		Times

 $^{^\}star$ Administered on behalf of the Department of Economic Development and Tourism, Government of the Northwest Territories.

8.	WHEN AN	ND WHERE DID YOU MAR	E YOUR DE	ECISION TO UNDERTAKE THIS TRIP T	O THE
	WHEN:				
		Month	Year		
	WHERE :	At home			
		On the road		c1	
		While motoring thro	ough the	Yukon c1	
9.		H OF THE FOLLOWING A		S DID YOU AND YOUR TRAVEL PARTY ck all those applicable.)	
	Business	5	•1	Camping	
	Visiting	g friends/relatives		Fishing	
	Shopping	g for crafts	c1	Hunt ing	
		ng festivals, events		Swimming	
			_	Canoeing	
		g museums, cic sites	•1	Power boating	
	Nature s	study	•1	Other (please specify):	
	Hiking, backpa	climbing, cking	•1		
	Attending tourna	g sports ment	c1		
10.		THE USUAL OCCUPATION D? (Check one.)	N OF THE	PRINCIPAL WAGE EARNER IN YOUR	
	Farmer/f	orestry worker		Retiree	0
	Manager/	executive		Sales/service representative	
	Millwork	er/labourer	•1	Skilled worker	
	Office/c	lerical worker		Student	c1
	Professio	onal		Technician	
	Artist/w	riter	U	Entertainer	
	Other:	(please specify)			

•

_ - - ---, - - --

	IN WHAT BROAD CATEGORY BEALL SOURCES IN 1984, BEFO		OUR <u>COMBINED TOTAL</u> HOUSEHOLD INCOM (Check one.)	IE FROM
	Less than \$10,000		\$30,000 to \$39,999	
	\$10,000 to \$19,999		\$40,000 to \$49,999	
	\$20,000 to \$29,999		\$50,000 or more	•1
12.			TERRITORIES AND THE NWT (MacKenzi WHILE TRAVELING THROUGH THESE	.e
	Terrain		Wildlife	
	Vegetation		No difference	
	People		Other: (Please specify)	
	TION II. TRIP MOTIVATION		TO COMPLETE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE.	
13.	WHAT REASON PROMPTED YOU only please.)	MOST TO MA	AKE THIS TRIP TO THE NWT? (Check	one
	Business		Travel agent	c1
	Business Sports tournament	c1	Articles/advertisements in	c1
		c1		c1
	Sports tournament Friends/relatives who	c1	Articles/advertisements in magazines/newspapers	c1
	Sports tournament Friends/relatives who had visited the NWT Friends/relatives who		Articles/advertisements in magazines/newspapers Travel brochures Television programs	c1
	Sports tournament Friends/relatives who had visited the NWT Friends/relatives who reside in the NWT	D	Articles/advertisements in magazines/newspapers Travel brochures Television programs (documentaries)	c1
14.	Sports tournament Friends/relatives who had visited the NWT Friends/relatives who reside in the NWT A previous visit	D n	Articles/advertisements in magazines/newspapers Travel brochures Television programs (documentaries) Other: (Please specify)	c1
14.	Sports tournament Friends/relatives who had visited the NWT Friends/relatives who reside in the NWT A previous visit Personal interest	D n	Articles/advertisements in magazines/newspapers Travel brochures Television programs (documentaries) Other: (Please specify)	c1
14.	Sports tournament Friends/relatives who had visited the NWT Friends/relatives who reside in the NWT A previous visit Personal interest WOULD YOU CONSIDER ANOTHE	D n	Articles/advertisements in magazines/newspapers Travel brochures Television programs (documentaries) Other: (Please specify)	c1
14.	Sports tournament Friends/relatives who had visited the NWT Friends/relatives who reside in the NWT A previous visit Personal interest WOULD YOU CONSIDER ANOTHE	D n GR VISIT TO	Articles/advertisements in magazines/newspapers Travel brochures Television programs (documentaries) Other: (Please specify)	
14.	Sports tournament Friends/relatives who had visited the NWT Friends/relatives who reside in the NWT A previous visit Personal interest WOULD YOU CONSIDER ANOTHE Yes IF "YES":	D n GR VISIT TO	Articles/advertisements in magazines/newspapers Travel brochures Television programs (documentaries) Other: (Please specify)	
14.	Sports tournament Friends/relatives who had visited the NWT Friends/relatives who reside in the NWT A previous visit Personal interest WOULD YOU CONSIDER ANOTHEY Yes IF "YES": (a) WOULD YOU (Check one	D n CR VISIT TO	Articles/advertisements in magazines/newspapers Travel brochures Television programs (documentaries) Other: (Please specify) THE NWT? No u Visit other NWT regions	

*

.....

15.	HAVE YOU VISITED THE ARCTIC	REGIONS C	THER THAN	THE INUVIK REGION BEFO)RE?
	Yes		No 🔲		
16.	HOW WELL DID PRE-TRIP EXPECT IMPRESSIONS OF THE NWT DURIN			ACTUAL EXPERIENCES AN	1D
	Pre-trip expectations were w	well met		n	
	Over-estimated what the NWT	had to o	ffer	•1	
	Under-estimated what the NW	T had to	offer		
17.	HOW WOULD YOU RATE TOURIST IN the NWT?	FACILITIES	s (e.g. acc	commodation, restaurant	s) in
	Excellent		Satisfacto	ry	
	Good		Poor		c1
18.	HOW WOULD YOU RATE TOURIST	INFORMATIO	NAL SERVIC	ES IN THE NWT?	
	Excellent		Satisfacto	ry	•1
	Good		Poor		
19.	THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERAT OR NEGATIVE, THAT YOU WOULI PLEASE USE THE SPACE PROVIDE	D LIKE TO			
	-				

APPENDIX B - Detailed Computer Tabulations

. •

		AGE PAR'		TRAVE	LLII	NG		NUMBER OF	·	AVERAGE EXPENDTR
DATE PROV	REASON	0	13	19	25	40	55		EXPENDTR	PER PERSON
DATE PROV 850708 alta 850708 alta 850708 alta 850708 bc 850708 c 8	any 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	PAR'	13 1 1 1 1 2 2	19		2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3	. 1 ?? 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2	PEOPLE IN PARTY 3 4 4 4 2 11 3 3 0 3 2 2 4 4 4 2 2 1 1 5 12 1	500 700 675 1500 500 100 300 300 300 500 200 450 6000 200 600 200 600 275 75 350 1000 200	EXPENDTR PER PERSON 167 175 169 375 500 25 50 27 100 167 0 225 40 113 1500 100 0 0 200 50 800 750 60 300 138 75 350 167 50 133 0
850708 ywisc 850717 bc 850717 bc 850717 bc 850717 bc 850717 bc 850717 bc 850717 bc 850717 bc 850717 bc 850717 man 850717 man 850717 que 850717 que 850717 yalas 850717 yohio 850717 yores 850717 ytaxa	b c ska cida	3 3 3 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 2 2 2	1	??	??	,	2 2 3 3 3 2 2 4 6 6 7 7 7 7	100 100 120 210 210 250 1000 1000 450 250 200	50 50 40 70 0 50 42 250 113 125 67 200 83 150

		AGE PART		TRAY	VELII	NG		NUMBER OF	,	AVERAGE
DATE PROV REA	COM	C	13	19	25	- 40	55	IN PARTY	EXPENDTR	EXPENDTR PER PERSON
850717 ytexas	3	C	13	19	۷ ک	40	2	2	500	250
850717 ytexas	3						2	$\overset{2}{2}$	450	230 225
850717 ytexas 850717 ytexas	3	1	2			2	4	5	300	60
850717 ytexas 850717 yukon	3	1	7		2	2		2	500	
850717 yukon 850717 ywash	3				۷		2	$\frac{2}{2}$	100	250 50
850726 alta	2					2	4	$\frac{2}{2}$	2	30 1
850726 alta	3					2	2	4	۷	0
850726 bc	3					2	1	3	850	283
850726 bc	3					2	2	2	75	38
850726 fgermany	3				1		4	i	100	100
850726 nb	3						2	2	90	45
850726 IID 850726 ont	3				1		4	1	300	300
850726 ont	3				Τ.	2		2	1000	500
850726 yukon	3				??	2		0	500	300
850728 alta	3				• •		2	2	500	250
850728 alta	3	3				2	_	5	600	120
850728 alta	ĭ	3			1	_		ĭ	000	0
850728 alta	3				_		2	$\frac{1}{2}$	800	400
850728 bc	1					1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	750	375
850728 nfld	3				1			1	50	50
850728 ont	3						2	2	1000	500
850728 ont	3					3	1	4	800	200
850728 yalaska	3	2			1	1		4		0
850728 yalaska	3					2		2	150	75
850728 ycolorado	3	3			1	1		5		0
850728 yconn	3				1	1		2	60	30
850728 ygeorgia	3		1		1	2	1	5	250	50
850728 ymissouri	3						2	2	400	200
850728 ynewjerse	3	1	1			2	•	4	41	10
850728 yoregon	3				_	_	2	2	350	175
850728 ytexas	1				1	1		2	23	12
850728 yukon	4					ļ	,	1	300	300
850728 ywisconsi	3					1	1 2	2	150	75
850728 ywisscons	3				2		2	2	400	200
850807 alta 850807 alta	1				2		3	2	600	300
850807 bc	3				2		3	3	400 200	133
850807 fholland	3				2	1		2 2 2 1	300	100
850807 man	3				2			2	350	150 175
850807 man 850807 ont	3			1	2			וֹ ב	80	80
850807 yalaska	3			-	2				160	80
850807 ycalif	3				2		2	2 2 2 0	1000	500
850807 yillinois	3			1	1		_	$\frac{2}{2}$	50	25
850807 yillinois	3			_	-	??		0	200	23
850807 ymichagan	3						2		650	325
850807 ynewjerse	3				1			$\overset{2}{1}$	100	100
850807 ytexas	3				2		5	7	600	86
850807 yukno	3				2	1		3	100	33
850807 yukon	4				1 2 2 1 2	1		2	500	250
850807 yukon	3	1			2			3 2 3 2	600	200
850818 alta	1					2			500	250
850818 yalaska	3						2	2	170	85

			AGE PART	-	TRAV	VELIN	IG 		-	IBER OF	1	AVER EXPE	RAGE ENDTR
DATE 850818 850831 850831 850907	Бc	REASON	O 3 3 3	13	19	25 1 4 1	40	55 2	IN	PARTY 2 3 4 1	EXPENDTR 200 700 3000 500	PER	PERSON 100 233 750 500
	TOTALS :	TOTALS :	35	12	17	89	71	68		292	58646		

	OC	CUP.	ATIO	NC	- P	RIN	CIP.	AL						TOTAL
DATE PROV	F	M	MI	0	Р	 А	R	S	SK	ST	Т	E	отн	HSHLD INCOME
850708 alta		1												40
850708 alta					1									50
850708 alta					1									40
850708 alta									1					40
850708 bc									,					20
850708 bc							1		1					30
850708 bc							1		1					
850708 bc 850708 bc									ì					20
850708 bc 850708 bc									ì					20
850708 bc									ī					40
850708 fgermany					1				_					30
850708 man					_		1							10
850708 ont					1									30
850708 ont		1												30
850708 sask					1									50
850708 sask					1									50
850708 yarizona							1							
850708 ycalif		1												F 0
850708 ymass	_				1									50
850708 yminneso					1									40 5 0
850708 yminneso		1	1		1			1	1	1	1		unempl	5 30
850708 yminneso	ca	1	1		1				1	•	•			10
850708 ymontana 850708 ynewjers e	217						1		•					
850708 ytexas	= <u>y</u>						i							50
850708 yukon	1						_							40
850708 yukon	_				1									50
850708 yukon					_								guide	10
850708 yukon			1										_	50
850708 ywash													army	20
850708 ywash			1											30
850708 ywiscons:	in				_									4.0
850717 bc					1				,					40
850717 bc									1	1				50
850717 bc									ר	1				10 4(J
850717 bc							,		1					U) P
850717 bc 850717 bc							1		1					
850717 bc							1		7					10
850717 bc					1									50
850717 bc			1		-									20
850717 fnorway			_		1									10
850717 man		1			-									50
850717 ont		_												20
850717 que					1									
850717 yalaska					1									50
850717 yflorida							1							10
850717 yohio					1									40
850717 yoregon													c: - 3	
850717 ytaxas													fisher	m 30

	OCCUI	PATION	- PRIN	ICIPAL	·		TOTAL HSHLD
DATE PROV 850717 ytexas 850717 ytexas 850717 ytexas 850717 yukon 850717 ywash	F M	MIO	P A 1 1	R S 1 1	SKS	TTE O	TH INCOME 50 30 30 50 10
850726 alta 850726 alta 850726 bc 850726 bc		1		1	1		20 50 10
850726 fgermany 850726 nb 850726 ont 850726 ont 850726 yukon 850728 alta 850728 alta			1	1	1	1	20 30 30 30 10 0
850728 alta 850728 alta 850728 bc			1	1 1			50 40 20
850728 nfld 850728 ont 850728 ont 850728 yalaska 850728 yalaska			1 1 1	1			30 20 50
850728 ycolorad 850728 yconn 850728 ygeorgia 850728 ymissour 850728 ynewjers 850728 yoregon 850728 ytexas 850728 yukon	i ey 1		1	1 1 1 1			40 40 30 50 30 50 20 20
850728 ywiscons 850728 ywisscon 850807 alta 850807 alta 850807 bc 850807 fholland 850807 man 850807 ont	nsin	1	1	1 1 1		1	20 20 50 40 30 20 30
850807 yalaska 850807 ycalif 850807 yillinoi 850807 yillinoi 850807 ymichaga 850807 ymewjers 850807 ytexas 850807 yukno 850807 yukon 850807 yukon 850818 yalaska	i s an	1		1 1 1	1 1 1 1	L	10 50 0 50 10 50 50 20 50 20 30

. . .

• :

		OCCI	UPA'	TION	- P	RIN	ICI:	PAI	ı 					_	OTAL SHLD
DATE 850818	PROV	F M		ΜI	0	P A	A R	SS	S K S	тт		ΕO	ТН	I	NCOME 40
850831	bc				1								fish	erm	40
850831 850907	ymontana yukon				1				1						50
	TOTALS :	2	9	5	030	3	3 :	25	218	3	4	0		0	2870

.

		ACC	MC					DESTINT		VISITED NWT	DECISI MADE	ON
DATE	PROV			RELTV	TENT	OTHER	EXPENI			BEFORI O=NO	WHEN	WHERE
850708 850708	alta alta alta alta bc	1 2 2 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	??	2	3 3 1 ?? 2 2 ?? 2 2 ?? 3 1	1	500 700 675 1500 500 200 100 300 300 300 450 80 450 200 6000 6000 6000 6000 7000 6000 6000	INUVIK D1=YES	N 0001111111111101110010000000000000000	NWT BEFORF 0=NO 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	MADE	WHERE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
85071' 85071' 85071' 85071' 85071' 85071' 85071 85071 85071	7 bc 7 bc 7 bc 7 bc 7 fnorway 7 man 7 ont	a	1	?? 4	??	2 3 3 3 1 2 1 3 3 3 2	100 100 255 45 150 20 20 25 30 50	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		 	0 8300 0 8500 2 8400 0 8500 3 8400 0 8500 0 8500 0 8500 0 8500 0 8500 0 8500 0 8500 0 8500 0 8500 0 8500	4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

. * . . · ·

		ACC	OM					DESTINTN	NWT	MADE	ION
DATE	PROV	нам	САМР	RELTV	TENT	OTHER	EXPENI	INUVIK	before 0=NO	WHEN	WHERE
	ytexas	Hari	CAMI	KLL1 V	3	OTTILK	300	1	0-110	8300	1
	ytexas				6		450	0	0	8505	i
	ytexas		1		U		500	ů	0	5500	i
			1		2		500	0	2		
850717			າ		۷			•		8507	ļ
850717			3	1	2		100	1	0	8507	1
850726				1	2		•	1	1	8506	1
850726		??					2	0	1	0.410	-
850726		2					850	0	2	8410	1
850726					1		75	ī	0	8409	1
	fgermany				4		100	1	0	8507	2
850726					2		90	1	0	8207	1
850726					2		1000	0	0	8003	1
850726			1				300	1	0	8506	1
850726	yukon		??				500	1	0	8505	1
850728			10				500	0	2		
850728	alta			??				1	5	8503	1
850728			3				600	1	ĺ	8407	3
850728			·		4		800	ī	0	8407	ĭ
850728					-	1	750	ī	0	8505	1
850728					1	'	50	ī	1	8507	i
850728					i		800	1 1	0	8007	1
850728		2			_		1000	0	0	0007	1
	yalaska	1					150	∪ 1		8508	3
		1			??		150	1	0	0000	3
	yalaska	2			r r 1				0	7600	1
	ycolorado	2			4			0	0	7600	1
850728					Ť		60	1	0	8503	1
	ygeorgia				2		250	1	0	8500	2
	ymissouri				1		400	2	0	8507	2
850/28	ynewjerse	У			1		41	1	0	8508	2
850728	yoregon		4				350	1	0	8507	2
850728							23	0	0	8507	3
850728		3		2			300	1	0	8503	1
	ywisconsi		3		3		150	1	0	8507	2
850728	ywisscons	in			6		400	1	0	8507	3
850807	alta		2				400	1	0	8400	1
850807	alta		??				600	1	4	8508	3
850807	bc				3		200	$\overline{1}$	15	8508	3
	fholland		??		-		300	$\bar{1}$	0	8411	
850807					1		350	1	0	8412	า
850807					2		80	i	0	8503	i
	yalaska		2		4		160	î	0	8503	1
850807			4		Л		1000	0	ľ	8506	ì
	yillinois				4 3 1		200	ì	1	8200	1
	yillinois				J 1		50	i			, T
					5				1	8501	7
	ymichagan				5		650	0	0	8403	Ţ
	ynewjers	e I	F	,			100	1	0	8508	2
850807			5	6			600	1	0	8504	1
850807							100	0	10	8508	1
850807	yukon			_	14		500	1	3	8506	1
850807				5			600	1	0	8408	1
850818					5		500	0	2	8509	1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1
85081	8 yalaska	a l					170	1	0	8507	1

. · · · ·

VISITED DECISION

		ACC	M.					DESTINTN	VISITED NWT BEFORE	DECIS:	ION
DATE 850818	PROV	H&M	CAMP	RELTV	2	OTHER	EXPENI 200	I NUVI K D1=YES	0 =NO 0	WHEN	WHERE
850831 850831	bc ymontana	2			3		700 3000	1 0	0	8501	1 1
850907	yukon TOTALS:	32	48	20	159	20	500 58646	74	215	7500	

de la companya de la

in the second of the second of

		ACT	CIV	ITI	ES													
DATE	PROV	В		V	s	A	V	N	Н	A	С	F	Н	S	С	P	0	THER
850708 850708 850708 850708	alta alta alta				1 1 1	1	1 1 1	1			1 1							flying flying
850708 850708 850708 850708 850708	bc bc bc bc		1		1 1 1	1	1	1 1			1 1 1 1							
850708 850708 850708 850708	bc bc fgermany man			1	1		1 1	1			1 1 1 1	. 1						
850708 850708 850708 850708 850708	ont ont sask sask			1	1 1 1		1 1 1	1	1		1 1	. 1						
850708 850708 850708 850708	yarizona ycalif ymass yminnesot				1	1	1	1	1		1							motcyc
850708 850708 850708 850708	yminnesot yminnesot ymontana ynewjerse ytexas	a			1		1 1	i 1			1 1 1 1	. 1			1	1		
850708 850708 850708 850708	yukon yukon yukon		1 1 1		1			1	1		1 1					1	1	
850708 850708 850708	ywash ywash ywisconsi	in			1		1	1			1							
850717 850717 850717 850717 850717					1	1	1 1 1	1 1 1	1		1 1 1	. 1						
850717 850717 850717 850717	bc bc bc				1 1 1		1		1		1 1							flying
850717 850717 850717 850717	fnorway man ont que		1		1	1	1	1 1	1		1 1 1 1	. 1			1			
850717 850717	yalaska yflorida yohio yoregon			1	1		1 1 1	1 1 1	1		1	. 1						
	ytaxas				1		1	1			1	. 1		1				

.....

							<u>-</u>					,					
DATE	PROV	В		V	S	A	V	N	Η	Α	С	F	Н	S	C	Р	OTHER
	ytexas			•				1	1		1						
850717	ytexas				1		1				1						
	ytexas																sights
	yukon yukon				1		1	1			1	1					flying
	ywash				1						1						flying
850726				1	1			1			1						
	alta		1														
850726			_		1	1	1					1					
850726				1	ĩ	1	ī				1	1 1 1					
	fgermany			_	_	_	_		1		1 1 1 1	1					
850726	i nh						1				1						
850726					1		_				1						
850726					_		1				1						
	yukon				1		_					1					
	B alta				_							_					
			1									1					
	alta		_		7	1	1				1	_					
	3 alta				1 1 1	i	1 1 1				1 1 1	1		1			
	3 alta				†		7				î	-		_			
850728					1						-						sights
	8 nfld				٦			1			1						5191105
850728					1		7										
850728					1 1 1		1	i									
	g yalaska				1												
850728		_			1		1	1	1		ר						
85072	g ycolorado	0						i			1 1						
85072	g yconn				1			7			1						
850728	g ygeorgia				1						1						
	g ymissouri							2			2						nhotog
	8 ynewjers	ey						2			1						photog
	8 yoregon										Τ						a i ab t a
	3 ytexas		_														sights
	8 yukon		1	1	_						,						
850728					1		1				Ţ						
	3 ywisscons	sin					1				Ť						
	7 alta				1	_	1	_			Ţ						
	7 alta				1	1		1			Ţ	1					
8508					_			_			1 1 1 1						
	7 fholland				1		1	. 1									
85080					_				_		Ţ						
85080	7 ont				1				1		1	_					
85080	7 yalaska				1		1				1	1					sights
85080	7 ycalif				1		1	1			1						
	7 yillinoi:	s			1				1		1						
85080	7 yillinoi:	s									1						
	7 ymichagai					1	. 1										
	7 ynewjers				1												driving
	7 ytexas	-			1		1				1	1					
	7 yukno				1												
	7 yukon		1		_												
	7 yukon			1	1												
	8 alta			ī	ĩ		1				1	1					flying
	8 yalaska			_	ī		1										_
00001	Julubnu				_												

.....

in the second of the second of

ACTIVITIES

TOTALS: 10 9 63 11 51 37 12 0 71 22 1 3 3 1 0

		DIFF YUK			ES BET	WN 	REASON PROMPTD TRIP	CONSIDER ANOTHER TRIP	revisit inuvik region	return next year
DATE	PROV	T V	V P	, M	NO	OTH	MOST	1=YES	l=YES	1=YES
850708 850708 850708 850708 850708	alta alta alta bc	1 1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1	1 11		6 6 6 6 6	1 1 0 0 1		1 0 1 0
850708 850708 850708 850708 850708 850708	bc be bc bc	1 1 1	1	1	1 1		6 6 11 6	1 1 1 1	0 0	0 0 0 0
850708 850708 850708 850708 850708	fgerman man ont ont sask sask	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1	1	bugs	6 5 6 6 6 3	1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0	0
850708 850708 850708 850708 850708	yminnesot yminnesot yminneso ymontana	t 1 t 1	1	1			6 6 5 6 6	1 1 1 1	. 1 . 0 . 1 . 1	0 1 1 1 0
850708 850708 850708 850708	ytexas yukon yukon	1 1 1	1	1	1		6 9 5	1		_
850708 850708 850708	yukon ywash	1	1	1	1		6	0		
850717 850717 850717 850717 850717	ywiscons bc bc be bc bc	1 1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1	1		6 6 6 3 5	1 1 1 1	L 0 L 1 L 0 L 0	0 1 0 0
850717 850717 850717 850717 850717		1 1 1	1 1	1	1 1		8 6 6	;] ;]	L (L]	1
850717 850717 850717 850717 850717	man ont que yalask a	1 1 1 a 1	1	1		clin	5 5 6 8 na 6	;	L] L (1 0 1
850717	yohio yoregon	1 1	1	1	1	011	6		Ĺ (0

........

				CES NWT	BE'	TWN	REASON PROMPTD TRIP	CONSIDER ANOTHER TRIP		return next year
DATE PROV	Т	V	P	W	NO	ОТН	MOST	l=YES	1=YES	1=YES
850717 ytexas	1	1	_	••			6		0	0
850717 ytexas	1	1					6	1	0	0
850717 ytexas						road				
850717 yukon	1	1	1	1			6	1	0	1
850717 ywash										
850726 alta			,	,						
850726 alta 850726 bc	٦.	ר	1				9	1		
850726 bc	1	1					8	1	1	
850726 fgermany	_						0	•		
850726 nb	1						6	0		
850726 ont	1	1					6	1	1	0
850726 ont	1	1					6	1	1	0
850726 yukon	1									
850728 alta	1		1				6	1	0	0
850728 alta			1				9	0		
850728 alta	1	1	_				6	1	0	1
850728 alta	1	1	1				(1	1	0
850728 bc	1						6	1	0	0
850728 nfld 850728 ont	1 1	1					5 5	1 1	0	0
850728 ont	1	1	1	1			6	i	0	0
850728 yalask		1					ıĭ		Õ	Ŏ
850728 yalaska		_						_	v	v
850728 ycolor	ado	1	1				6	1	0	0
850728 yconn	1	1	1	1						
850728 ygeorgia		1	1				6	0		
850728 ymisso		1					6	1	0	0
850728 ynewjers							6	0	0	0
850728 yorego		1					6	1	0	0
850728 ytexas 850728 yukon	1 1	1					6	1	1	0
850728 ywisconsi			1				6	i	ů	0
850728 ywisscor		1	1				V	•	_	U
850807 alta	1	1	ī				6	1	0	0
850807 alta	1	1		1			6	1	0	1
	1	1					6	1	0	1
850807 fholland	d 1	1					6	1	0	0
850807 man		_			1		6	1	1	0
850807 ont	1	1	1				6	1	0	0
850807 yalaska 850807 ycalif		1					þ	1	Ü	0
850807 ycalif 850807 yillinoi		1					11	1 1	0 1	0
850807 yillinoi							6	i	†	0
850807 ymichagan		_					ő	î	1 1	Ö
850807 ynewjers		1					11	ī	î	0
850807 ytexas					1		6	1	1 0	0
850807 yukno					1			1	1	1
850807 yukon	1						1	ī	1	0 1 1 0
850807 yukon	_	1				road	4	1	0	0
850818 alta	1	1					9	1	1	1
850818 yalaska							б			

.........

		DIFI YUK(NCES NW'		1WT 		REASON PROMPTI TRIP	CONS: ANOTI TRIP		revisit inuvik region	return next year	
DATE P	ROV	T T	V P	W	NO	O'	ГΗ	MOST	1=YE	S	1=YES	1=YES	7
_	ukon	1	1						3	1	1		U
850831 b	-	1	1		1				6	1	1		1
	ymontana ukon	1	1	1	1				0	1	1		
T	OTALS :	84	57	31	16	5	0	52	0	80	34		21

..........

DATE	PROV	VISITED ARTIC BEFORE 1=YES	PRE-TRIP EXPECTNS	RATE TOURIST FACILITS	RATE TOURIST INFO	COMMENTS 1=YES
850708 850708 850708	alta alta	0	1 3 1	2 2 4	2 2 1 1	0
850708 850708 850708	bc	1	1	2 1	2	U
850708 850708 850708	bc	0 0	1 2 1	2 4 2	2 3 1	1
850708 850708 850708	bc fgermany	0	1	3	3	1
850708 850708 850708	ont	0 1 0	1 1 2 3	2 1 3	3 3 1 4 2	1
850708 850708 850708		1	3	2	2	1
	ycalif ymass	0 0	1 1	3 2	2 2	
850708 850708 850708	yminnesot yminnesot	. 1	<u>1</u> 4	1 1	1 1	1
	ynewjerse ytexas		1	3 2	1 2	
850708 850708	yukon yukon	1 0	1 1	4 4	3 4	1
850708 850708 850708	ywash ywash	0	1	3	2	1
850717 850717	bc	0 1	1 3 3	2 3	3 2 2	1 1
850717		0 1	1 1	3 1	3 1	1
850717 850717 850717	bc bc	0	2 1	2 1	2 1	
850717 850717 850717	fnorway	0 1	3	2 1	1	1
850717 850717 850717	que yalaska	0	1	3 2	2	1
850717 850717 850717	yflorida	0	1	3 2	3 1	

D.188	DDOM	VISITED ARTI C BEFORE)	PRE-TRIP EXPECTNS	RATE TOURIST FACILITS	RATE TOURIST	COMMENTS 1=YES
DATE 850717	PROV vtexas	1=YES	0	1	3	2	
850717	ytexas		0	1	1	1	1
850717			۸	-	2	1	
850717	_		0	1	3	1	
850717	-						
850726 850726							
850726			0	1	1	1	
850726			0	2	2	2	
	fgermany					0	7
850726	nb		0	1	3	2	1
850726			٥	2	2	3	
850726			0	3 1	2 1	3	
850726			0	1	2	1	
850728 850728			i	2	2	2	
850728			0	1	3	2	1
850728			0	1	3	3	
850728			0	3	2	1	
850728			1	1	2	2	
850728			0	1	2	1	
850728			1	1	1	4	_
050720	yalaska yalaska			_		_	_
850728	ycolorad	0	1	1	4	3	1
850728	vconn	•					
850728	ygeorgia		0	2		4	
850728	ymissour	i	1	1	2		
	ynewjers	e	0	1	3		
	yoregon		0	1	2		
	ytexas		0	1	2		
850728 850728	ywiscons	i	0	3	2		
	ywiscons		Ū	•			
850807	alta		0	1	4		1
850807			0	1	2	2	ļ
850807			1	2	3	3	1
	fholland		1		. 2	3	
850807			1 0	_)
850807			0				
850807	yalaska ycalif		0	i			1
	yillinoi	s	0	1	.]		
850807	yillinoi	.s	0	1	. 2		
850807	ymichaga	ın	1			2 1	
850807	ynewjers		0				
850807			0				2
850807			0 1				2
850807 850807	yukon yukon		0				1
850818			ĭ				
	yalaska		_	,			
000010	1						

•••, •••

1

DATE 850818 850831		VISITED ARTIC BEFORE 1=YES		RATE TOURIST FACILITS		COMMENTS 1=YES
	ymontana yukon	1	1	4	3	
	TOTALS :	26	110	175	156	31

***, ***

APPENDIX C -

Peel **River Ferry** Traffic Data (July, August and September, 1985)
Licence Plate Tabulation by Ferry Crews
-only visitor vehicles were tabulated.

NWT 18	8 2	3 4		789 10 6 7 5 4 2	910 24 1 2 4 5 1 7 1		31 1		13 14 7 3 6 i	14 17 13 7 11 1	15 20 6 91 7 3	3 6 8	6 1 19 3 3 5 5	L7 30 6 6 1 1 1	10	3	9 2 21 4 7 11 1 42 2	22 7 5	27 4 7	22 13 2 10 1 1 2	23 18 2 13 4 2	3 2 13 6 3 6 1	21 7 4 5 1	3 5 11 , 4	5 1 12 1	27 16 1 3 5	2 21 1 2 5	8 2 35 3 1 5 2	29 26 25 3 21 3	30 22 5 4 1 2	31 17 4 2 4 1 4	Total 629 129 145 191 29 92 23 22 4 0 0 0 0	Total 37.35 7.66 8.61 11.34 1.72 5.46 1.37 1.31 0.24 0.00 0.00	% of Non-Residents 12.23 13.74 18.10 2.75 8.72 2.18 2.09 0.38 0.00 0.00
ALASKA ARIZONA	1	2	2	1	1 2	2	1	1	1		1	2	1	1	4 1	6	4 2	5 1	6	4 1	1	1	3	3	3		1	1	3	3	3	0 63 15	0.00 3.74 0.89	0.00 5.97 1.42
ARKANSAS CALIF COLORADO FLORIDA GEORGIA IDAHO		1 3 2	2 2 2	1 2	5 2 1	2	1 1 1	4	1	3	3	1	3	2	1	1	1	3 1 1	3 1 2	1 1 1	4 1		3 1	2	5 1 1	1	2 2	2	1	1	1	7 53 11 17 5 4	0.42 3.15 0.65 1.01 0.30 0.24	0.66 5.02 1.04 1.61 0.47 0.38
IOWA ILLINOIS INDIANA IOWA KANSAS KENTUCKY			2		2	1 1		2		2		2	1	3	1				1				1							1	1	1 13 2 2 4 1	0.06 0.77 0.12 0.12 0.24 0.06	0.09 1.23 0.19 0.19 0.38 0.09 0.00
LOUISIANA								1																								1 0	0.00 0.06 0.00	0.00
MAINE MARYLAND MASS MICHIGAN MINNESOTA MISSOURRI MONTANA NEBRASKA NEVADA			1	2		1	1	1 1 1	1 1 4	1	2 1	1	1 2	2		1	1 1	1	1 1 1	1 2	2 1 2	1 4	3		1		1	1		1	1	3 1 1 21 15 3 7	0.18 0.06 0.06 1.25 0.89 0.18 0.42 0.06 0.24	0.28 0.09 0.09 1.99 1.42 0.28 0.66 0.09
NEWHAMPSH NEWJERSEY NEWMEXICO NEWYORK N DAKOTA		1	2	1	11 1	1	1	2 1	1	1	_	2	3	1		1	2		1									1	1	1		3 4 14 17 2	0.18 0.24 0.83 1.01 0.12	0.28 0.38 1.33 1.61 0.19
OHIO OKLAHOMA OREGON PENNSYL	;	3	1	1	3	1		1	1 1			2	2	2	1		1	1		1		1	3	1	1	1				1	1	0 10 1 22 3 0	0.00 0.59 0.06 1.31 0.18 0.00	0.00 0.95 0.09 2.09 0.28 0.00
s CAROLINA S DAKOTA TENN TEXAS		1 1	2	2	2 6	1	3	4	1		3				4	1 1 1	1	2				2	2	1	1							1 5 4 32	0.00 0.06 0.30 0.24 1.90	0.00 0.09 0.47 0.38 3.03

FERRY SURVEY DATA - JULY 1985

UTAH VERMONT VIRGINIA	1 1 1 1	1 1 1	4 0.24 0.38 0 0.00 0.00 2 0.12 0.19 2 0.12 0.19
WAS H 1 WISCONSIN WYOMING	5 1 1 1 2 1	2 1 1 1 1 3 2	0 0.00 0.00 2 1 2 21 1.25 1.99 1 7 0.42 0.66 0 0.00 0.00
AUSTRALIA AUSTRIA GERMANY 1 1 1 SWITZ	1 1 1	1 1 1	0 0.00 0.00 1 0.06 0.09 1 0.06 0.09 5 0.30 0.47 4 0.24 0.38 0 0.00 0.00
Total 22 65 59 46 % Total 1. 3. 3. 2.	6 84 60 68 72 46 58 58 60 51 60 57 48 6 4 3 4 4 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 3	64 62 75 45 52 40 64 49 53 35 41 54 3. 3. 4. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3.	
Total excluding	g NWT (i.e. visitors)		1055

£

.

•

		gust 2		4	5	6	7	8	9 1	.0 1	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	Total	% of Total	of Non-Residents
NWT YUKON ALTA BC MAN ONT QUE SASK NB NS PEI NFLD	29 1 6 3 2 4 1	43 3 1 5	32 9 3 6 6	43 2 4 5	42 4 1	32 2 4 115	17 2 3 1	24 6 4 7 5 1	28 4 8 6 1 5	18 2 3 5 1 2 1	42 8 5 9 3	18 5 4 4 1	27 8 2 3 1 3 1	34 3 2 7 1	58 8 3 4 4		9 13 8 1 2 1	29 5 7 2	50 4 4 2	42 7 3 1	26 6 2 2	33 2 3 1	18 5 4 1	3	5	25 4 4	23 8	3 6	3	38 3 1 2	45 7 6	1006 101 94 144 8 56 5 4 0 0 0	59.88 8.99 5.60 8.57 0.48 3.33 0.30 0.24 0.00 0.00 0.00	22.40 13.95 21.36 1.19 8.31 0.74 0.59 0.00 0.00 0.00
ALASKA ALABAMA ARIZONA	6	3		2	3	1	3	3	1	1	1		1		2		2	2	3	2	1				2	1	1	. 1	3	2		0 47 1 1 0	0.00 2.80 0.06 0.06 0.00	0.00 6.97 0.15 0.15 0.00
ARKANSAS CALIF COLORADO DELAWARE	2	1	1	2		2	1		1	1	3 2	1	1	1	3	3 1	3 1	2 1		4 1	2	1	1			1			1	2	2	37 11 1 0	2.20 0.65 0.06 0.00	5.49 1.63 0.15 0.00
FLORIDA GEORGIA IDAHO 10WA ILLINOIS I NDI ANA 10WA KANSAS KENTUCKY		1		1				1	4	1	1	2	1				1	1	2		1						1	1		1	1	4 0 4 0 9 5 0 2 1	0.24 0.00 0.24 0.00 0.54 0.30 0.00 0.12 0.06	0.59 0.00 0.59 0.00 1.34 0.74 0.00 0.30 0.15 0.00
LOUISIANA	١																											1	1			2 0	0.12	0.30
MAINE MARYLAND NASS MICHIGAN MINNESOTA MISSOURE MONTANA NEBRASKA NEVADA NEWHAMPSI NEWJERSEY NEWMEXICO NEWYORK N DAKOTA	L H	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1 2	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1								1 2 8 6 2 1 0 2 0 1 0 9 0 0 0 0 0	0.00 0.06 0.12 0.48 0.36 0.12 0.06 0.00 0.12 0.00 0.06 0.00	0.00 0.15 0.30 1.19 0.89 0.30 0.15 0.00 0.30 0.00 0.15 0.00 0.15 0.00
OHIO OKLAHOMA OREGON PENNSYL	4			3			1	1	2			1	1	1	1		1					1	1	1	1	1						5 1 14 2	0.30 0.06 0.83 0.12	0,74 0.15 2.08 0.30

APPENDIX **E**

....

COMMENTS FROM QUESTIONNAIRES

- Enjoyed the visit to the Northwest Territories. Disappointed with the lack of animals. Like the tundra. Some mis-information on services being open.
- O Enjoyed very much, thank you.
- 0 Beautiful country.
- O Enjoyed the Northwest Territories, very much.
- O Previous travel in arctic and islands and east Northwest Territories. To refer to road to **Inuvik** as Northwest Territories makes answers difficult.
- 0 Will wait until Northwest Territories road conditions are better .
- O The RCMP in Inuvik aren't very helpful.
- O There is no place to buy ice in Inuvik.
- 0 Enjoyed the trip.
- O Information booths were closed weekends and holidays.
- O The campgrounds in Northwest Territories were not in the best condition.
- O Being a fact it is a remote area my expectations were met very well.
- O Campgrounds here rarely have flat ground for tenting, only for campers.
- 0 Less dust, smaller mosquitos.
- The cost of eating out in **Inuvik** is prohibitive. The food selection is really poor and badly cooked.
- $\ensuremath{\text{0}}$ Campgrounds need improvement, that is drinking water and washrooms .
- O It was a very enjoyable trip, and the scenery was fantastic.
- 0 Have thoroughly enjoyed seeing your fantastic country.
- 0 Lovely country, roads questionable, people are good.
- O I like the people and the services. Could be more pull-offs on highway.

- Wonderful people, excellent camping facilities.
- O Difficult to fill-up water in Inuvik.
- O Town people in Inuvik didn't seem very friendly compared to Dawson City and other areas, it almost seemed like we were intruding on them.
- 0 Enjoyed our trip.
- O Advertise your canvas outlet.
- 0 Exceptionally fine.
- O Showers for campers at Inuvik.
- Better roads needed and accommodations for tighter budget travelers.
- Provide more tent space at campgrounds not only for trailers; cheaper hotels.
- O People are **noticably** more friendly and this is the outstanding impression of the visit to the Northwest Territories by the Dempster Highway.
- O People have been friendly.
- 0 Need better signs.