

Supplemental Report - Municipal Operations And Maintenance - Unconditional Funding Date of Report: 1989 Author: G.n.w.t. - Municipal & Community Affairs Catalogue Number: 9-5-246 SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT- MUNICIPAL OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE - UNCONDITIONAL FUNDING Sector: Reference Material

9-5-246 Reference Material

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

MUNICIPAL OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

UNCONDITIONAL FUNDING





SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

MUNICIPAL OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

UNCONDITIONAL FUNDING

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

as Requested by

Joint MACA/NWTAM Municipal O & M Funding Review Committee

on

Municipal Operations and Maintenance Unconditional Funding

1.11

The Joint Committee reviewed the Task Group's report and recommendations in October, and requested further information. Discussion about funding Municipal Taxing Authorities (M.T.A.s) resulted in a request to produce more calculations and tables. Questions, such as which formula would be used to distribute MTAs' funds and from which funding pool would these funds be drawn, were raised. Also, further experimentations with the grouping of municipalities (e.g. 6 tax based, excluding Yellowknife) was requested. This supplemental report contains examples which were generated from the many scenarios and proposals developed over the course of the Task Group's work.

It is important to note that none of these examples are recommended for implementation by the Task Group, as they do not reflect any new consensus among the Task Group. As well, they may not be consistent with the Conceptual Framework to which the Group subscribed when undertaking the review...

In light of the discussions which took place at the Joint Committee meeting, the Task Group assessed its stated recommendations, and concluded that the Group would leave its recommendations as they were presented in its report to the Joint Committee. The Task Group agreed that it would provide the additional information requested by the Joint Committee in this Supplement to the Task Group report which is the compilation of that additional information requested.

In addition, the Group decided to obtain an independent assessment of its report from a recognized municipal historian/academic. The services of Dr. Andrew Sancton, Professor of Local Government Studies at the University of Western Ontario's School of Public Administration were acquired under contract with the Department.

As a result of the Group's review of Dr. Sancton's draft assessment of the Report to the Joint Committee, the Task Group has concluded:

1. That the credit units approach to funding hamlets, as proposed in the Task Group Report to 'he Joint Committee is a practical and sensible approach to funding hamlets and charter communities, and should be adopted. The Task Group recommendations in this regard are sound.

. . . /2

- That the Task Group's proposed equalization formula for funding tax based municipalities may be flawed. The formula does not take community size into consideration in the resource rationing process. As Dr. Sancton pointed out, adopting this proposed approach represents a departure from all other jurisdictions in Canada. It is now the view of the Task Group that the Task Group recommendations on Equalization payment funding to tax based municipalities contained in the Report to the Joint Committee should, therefore be hold in abeyance while the Task Group undertakes further study as to the adequacy of the recommended formula in recognizing community size and consequent community operating costs.
- 3. Although the Task Group is of the view that its recommendations respecting hamlets which assume taxing authority are sound, there may be some further conclusions drawn from the reassessment of equalization funding to tax based municipalities. This review may warrant new recommendations being made.
- 4. Dr. **Sancton's** comments on the Quebec experience respecting the financing of public primary and secondary education services points to a need to do further research as to the specific impacts of proceeding with school tax reform.
- 5. It is still possible for these analyses to be done so that the full implementation of the funding schemes could take place for 1991. A recommended time frame could be as follows:

Tax Based Hamlets Time Joint Committee December/89 Joint Committee Reassessment Dec-June/90 February/90 Ministerial Review Mar-August/90 Consultation NWTAM Review early July/90 Ministerial Review mid July/90 consultation August/90 ****included in 1991 MACA Estimates**** September/90

Supplementary Information

Before examining the following three scenarios? it is helpful to review the two formulae to be used in their original context (from the Sept. 1, 1989 report). The calculations in the scenarios use either the Modified Equalization Formula - Model B by itself or coupled with the Per Capita Model. (See the following pages.)

PER CA

FORMULA:

GRANT = PER CAPITA FACTOR x NCI x POPU . 1989 ACTUAL GRANT - YELLOWKNII - POPULATION YELLOWKNIFE - (1 986

	NCI	Population
FORT SIMPSON	1.13	987
FORT SMITH	1.00	2,460
HAY RIVER	1.00	2,^/96
INUVIK	1.18	3,389
IQALUIT	1.30	2,947
NORMAN WELLS	1.25	627
YELLOWKNIFE	1.00	11,753
TO±AL		5,127

PER CAPITA FACTOR = \$86.00 = ACTUAL

PER

FORMULA:

GRANT = PER CAPITA FACTOR x NCI x PC
- 1989 ACTUAL GRANT - YELLOW
- POPULATION YELLOWKNIFE - (19

	NCI	Population
FORT SIMPSON	1.13	987
FORT SMITH	1.00	2,460
HAY RIVER	1.00	2,964
INUVIK	1.18	3,389
JQALUIT	1.30	2,947
NORMAN WELLS	1.25	627
YELLOWKNIFE	1.00	13,568
TOTAL		26,942

PER CAPITA FACTOR = \$83.00

TABLE # 9

	MODIEIED F	QUALIZATIONFOR	MULA	10DEL B	,	,	7	Я	9	10	
	1	2	3 (- 1)	лоце е	5	6				ACTUAL	
		•TOTAL					1989		GRANT	1989	
	# OF	EOUALIZED				101		VARIANCE	AMOUNT	GRANT PAID	VARIANCE
	DWELLINGS		(B)	(C)_	(A)	(G)	GRANT PAID 116,568	+	617,084	516,568	100,516
	313	16,460,891	1.901	1.13	2.148	528,415		 			164,352
ORT SIMPSON	800	53,404,540	1.498	1.00		368,513			401,047		140,753
ORT SMITH	1174	84,067,765		1.00	1.396	343,420					326,052
AY RIVER	1395	81,998,156		1.18	2.007	493,728					20,082
IUVIK	1025	91,702,251	1.118	1.30		357,443			96,239		16,019
ALUIT		97,137,094	0.268	1.25	0.335		80,220	1			(767,774)
ORMAN WELLS	4739	555,512,883	0.853	1.00	0.853		1,012,826	(802,703)	=======================================		
LLOWKNIFE		980,283,580			9.69	2,383,771	2,383,771	10	NOTE: GRANT	POOL = \$2,78	33,771
OTALS-	97û6 \	700,203,300							MOIL OIVIN	. 3 3 5 4 - 7	

GRANT POOL 2,3 **£3,771**

[INCLUDES \$400,00 EXTRA-ORDINARY FUNDING FOR FORT SIMPSON)

• 1988 Assesment for 1989 Taxation

)TALS

SCENARIO I

Yellowknife is not included in the calculations. The assumption is that the city would receive an amount as agreed to by Municipal and Community Affairs.

TABLE 1 Distribution by Equalization

Fort Simpson Fort Smith Hay River Norman Wells Inuvik Igaluit This table shows two results; the first does not include the \$400,000 additional funds for the Fort Simpson while, the second Inuvik result does include it. The Iqaluit pool of funds is the same as it is currently minus Yellowknife's grant. The funds are distributed using the Modified Equalization Formula-Model B.

TABLE 2 Distribution by Equalization

6 Tax-Based
Tuktoyaktuk
Rankin Inlet

Tuktoyaktuk and Rankin Inlet bring their current funding to this pool for distribution using Modified Equalization Formula -Model B.

TABLE 3 Distribution by Equalization and Per Capita

6 Tax-Based

The total grant is calculated by adding Columns 6 and 9. Funds are distributed using the Modified Equalization Formula - Model B and a straight per capita model.

TABLE 4 <u>Distribution by Equalization</u> <u>and Per Capita</u>

6 Tax-Based Tuktoyaktuk Rankin Inlet Tuktoyaktuk and Rankin Inlet bring to this pool for distribution using the Modified Equalization Formula - Model B and a straight per capita model.

TABLE # 1 - 5

	MODIFIED EQUALIZATION FORMULA MODEL B LESS YEL1OWKNIFE											
Ī		•TOTAL										
	# OF	EQUALIZED				101						
	DWELLINGS	ASSESSMENT	(B)	(C)	_(A)	(G)						
FORT SIMPSON	313	16,460,891	1.901	1.13	2.149	333,289						
	800	53,404,540	1.498	1.00	1.498	232,361						
FORT SMITH		84,067,765	1.396	1.00	1.396	216,616						
HAY RIVER	1174		1.701	1.18	2.007	311,389						
INUVIK	1395	81,998,156				225,392						
IQALUIT	1025	91,702,251	1.118	1.30	1.453							
NORMAN WELLS		97,137,094	0.268	1.25	0.335	51,898						
	4,967	424,770,697			אָאַ אַ טַ.[370 849						
<u>IOTALS</u>	4,907	424,7 7 0,077	<u> </u>	·———								

GRANT PO

··· NOTE: YELLOWKNIFE'S GRAN; WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY \$1,012,826 " 1988 Assesment for 1989 Taxation

TABLE # 2- SCENARIO I

iodified equalization formula model b including tuktoyaktuk and rankin inlet with and withoutfort simpson's \$400,000											
	1	2	3	A	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		" TOTAL								ACTUAL	
	# OF	EQUALIZED				ļ	i989	ll l	GRANT	1989	,
	DWELLINGS	ASSESSMENT	(B)	(C)	(A)	(G)	GRANT PAID	VARIANCE	INDOWA	GRANI PAID	VAKIAINCE
ORT SIMPSON	313	16,460,891	1.901	1.13	2.148	496,869	116,568	380,301	564,063	516,568	47,495
ORT SMITH	800	53,404,540	1.498	1.00	1.498	346,492	265,998	80,494	393,350		127,352
IAY RIVER	1,174	84,067,765	1.396	1.00	1,396	322,899	260,294	62,605	366,566	260,294	106,272
JUVIK	1 1,395	81,998,156	1.701	1:18	2:007	464,267	250,525	213,742	527,052		
JALUIT	1,025	91,702,251	1.118	1.30	1.4153	336,176	397,340	(61,164)		397,340	(15,701)
IORMAN WELLS	260	97,137,094	0.268	1.25	0.335	77,487	80,220	(2,733)	87,965	80,220	
UKTOYAKTLJK	ı 575	26,332,597	2.184	00.1	2.184	505,166	863,694	(358,528)	573,482	863,694	(290,212)
ANKIN INLET	374	21,179,664	1.766	1.00	1.766	408,482	723,200	(314,718)	463,722		(259,478)
OTALS	5,916				13	2,957,839	2,957,839	0	3,357,839		(0)
					<u> </u>	GRANT POO	2,957,839		GRANT POOL	3,357,839	

* NOTE: YELLOWKNIFE'S GRANT WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY \$1,012,826 # 1988 Assesment for 1989 Taxation (INCLUDES .\$400,000 EXTRA-ORDINARY FUNDING FOR FORT SIMPSON)

TABLE # 3 - SCENARIO I

to the second of the second

	MODIFIED EC	QUALIZATION FOR	MULA MC	DEL B	AND PE	R CAPITA MO	del j ess yel	LOWK <u>N</u> IFE	9	10 .	11 -1
	1 1	" TOTAL		7		-			PER CAPITA		
							1989		GRANT	1989	\
	# OF	EQUALIZED	(B)	(c)	(A)	[G)	GRANT PAID	VARIANCE	AMOUNT	GRANT PAID	VARIANCE
	DWELLINGS	ASSESSMENT	(-)	1.13	2.149	168,582	58,284	110,298	50,452	58,284	(7,832)
ORT SIMPSON	313	16,460,891	1.901			109,567	130 ,147	(20,580	134,080	130,147	3,933
-IAY RIVER	1,174	84,067,765	1.396	1.00	1.396		132,999	123,471	111,281	132,999	(21,718
ORT SMITH	800	53,404,540	1.396	1.00	1.396	109,528	- /	(84,638	173,304	198,670	25,366
QALUIT	1,025	91,702,251	1.118	1.30	1.453	114,032	198,670	` '	05 45 4	40,110	(4,656
JORMAN WELLS		97,137,094	0.268	1.25	0.335	26,284	40,110	,		125,263	55,638
-	1,395	81,998,156	1.701	1.18	2.007	157,481	125,263	32,218		685,473	(0
NUVIK-		424,770,697			8.737	685,473	685,473	0	685473		
TOTALS	4,967	424,770,097	. '			GRANT POO	L 685,473		GRANT POOL	685,473	

[•] NOTE: YELLO WKNIFE'S GRANT WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY \$1,012,826 • 1988 Assesment for 1989 Taxation

LOWKNIFE 11		VARIANCE	36,207	1 20,409	75,417	125,908	26,291	213,542	(353,140)	(245, 192)		
, BUT LESS YEL	AC10At 1989	GRANT PAID	58,284	130,147	132,999	198,670	40,110	125,263	431,847	361,600	1 478 920	1,478,920
RANKIN INLET	PER CAPITA GRANT	AMOUNT	94,491	251,116	208,416	324,578	66.401	338 804	78 707	116 408	1 478 920	GRANT POOL
OYAKTUK AND 8		VARIANCE	192,191	32,645	29,736	129.244	(1) 058	108 710	1177 254	1155 734	0)	
CENARIO I EL WITH TUKTO 7	1080	GRANT PAID	58,284	130.147	132 999	108 670	0110	105 253	123,203	431,047	ĺ	1,478,921
TABLE #4 - SCENARIO CAPITA MODEL WITH 1		(9)	250,475	162 792				37,032			205,866	13: 1,4/8,920 GRANT POOL
L B AND PER 4 5		٦ [۵]	13 2 149	707 1 306	1 306	2001	.30 - 433	.25 0.335	1.18 2.007	.00 2.184	.00 1.766	13
MULA MODE			10					_		2.184	1.766	!!
MODIFIED EQUALIZATION FORMULA MODEL B AND PER CAPITA MODEL WITH TUKTOYAKTUK AND RANKIN INLET, BUT LESS YELLOWKNIFE	· TOTAL	EQUALIZED ASSESSAMENT	1 4 4 4 0 801	10,400,07	84,007,703	53,404,540	91,702,251	97,137,094	81,998,156	26,332,597	21,179,664	472,282,958
MODIFIED EG	-	# OF	DWELLINGS	515	1,1/4	900	1,025	260	1,395	575	374	5,916
·				FORI SIMPSON	HAY RIVER	FORT SMITH	IGALUIT	NORMAN WELLS	NUVIK	TUKTOYAKTUK	RANKIN INLET	TOTALS

•• NOTE: YELLOWKNIFE'S GRANT WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY \$1,012.826 • 1988 Assesment for 1989 Taxation

TABLE # 1 SCENARIO II

	MODIFIED E	QUALIZATION FOR	RMULA - N	ODEL E				ρ	Q	1()	11
	1	2	3	4	5	₁ 6	,	· · ·		I ACTŮAL	
	1	• TOTAL					1000		GRANT	1989	
	# OF	EQUALIZED		4>		(0)	1989	VARIANCE		GRANT PAID	VARIANCE
	DWELLINGS	ASSESSMENT	(B)	(C)	(A)	(G)	GRANT PAID	411,847	617,084		100,516
FORT SIMPSON	313	16,460,891	1.901	1.13	2.148	528,415	116>68	$\frac{102,515}{102,515}$			164,352
FORT SMITH	800	53,404, <u>540</u>	1. <u>498</u>	1.00	1.498	368,513	265,998		401,047	260,294	140,753
HAY RIVER	1174	84,067,765	1.396	1.00	1.396	343,420		83,126	•		326,052
INUVIK	1395	81,998,156	1.701	1.18	2.007	493,728		<u>2</u> 43203	576,577	250,525 397,340	20,082
IQALUIT	1025	91,702,251	1.118	1.30	1.453	357,443	397,340	(39,897	417,422	80,220	16,019
NORMAN WELLS	260	97,137,094	0.268	1.25	0.335	'82,41 1	80,220		96,239		[767,774]
	4739	555,512,883	0.853	1.00	0.853	209,841	1,012,8 26	(802,985	1	1,012,826	[707,774]
YELLOWKNIFE	4737	333,312,003	0.000		9.69	2 383.771	2,383,771	0	2,783,771	2,783,771	0

GRANT POOL 2,383,771

NOTE: GRANT P'30Lg~;~83,771
[INCLUDES \$400,00
EXTRA-ORDINAR; FUNDING
FOR FORT SIMPSON)

" 1988 Assesment for 1989 Taxation

SCENARIO II

This scenario includes all existing Tax Based. Municipalities using the Modified Equalization Formula - Model B or a combination of equalization and per capita. It also presents the same options for the Hamlets which are in their own separate transition zone before becoming full TBM's. These Hamlets will be taxing authorities, but will be subject to some restrictions.

TABLE 1
<u>Distribution</u> by Equalization

Yellowknife
Fort Simpson
Fort Smith
Hay River
Inuvik
Norman Wells
Iqaluit

This table shows two results; the first does not include the \$400,000 additional funds for Fort Simpson, while the second does include it. The funds are distributed using the Modified Equalization Formula - Model B.

TABLE 2
Distribution by Equalization
and Per Capita

7 - Tax Based

The total grant is calculated by adding columns 6 and 9. Funds are distributed using the Modified Equalization Formula - Model B and a straight per capita model.

TABLE 3
<u>Distribution</u> by Equalization

Tuktoyaktuk Rankin Inlet This table shows Tuktoyaktuk and Rankin Inlet in a transition pool for new taxing authorities where funds are distributed using the Modified Equalization Formula - Model B.

TABLE 4
Distribution by Equalization
and Per Capita

Tuktoyaktuk Rankin Inlet This table shows Tuktoyaktuk and Rankin Inlet in a transition pool for new taxing authorities where funds are distributed using the Modified Equalization Formula - Model B and a straight per capita model.

SCENARIO II (continued)

TABLE 5 Distribution by Equalization

7 - Tax Based Rankin Inlet Tuktoyaktuk This table shows two results; the first does not include the \$400,000 additional funds for Fort Simpson, while the second does include it. The funds are distributed using the Modified Equalization Formula - Model B.

TABLE 6
<u>Distribution by Equalization</u>
<u>and Per Capita</u>

7 - Tax Based Rankin Inlet Tuktoyaktuk The total grant is calculated by adding columns 6 and 9. Funds are distributed using the Modified Equalization Formula - Model B and a straight per capita model.

TABLE# 2 - SCENARIO II

	MODIFIED	EQUALIZATION FO	ORMULAN	NODELB	and Pe	R CAPITAMO	DELCOWBIL1E1)			
	_ 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 .	. 9	10	II •
		 TOTAL 	-		.l				PER CAPITA	ACTUAL	
	# OF	EQUALIZED		\ ⁻ //	·- 1 ′		1989		GRANT	1989	ı
	DWELLINGS	ASSESSMENT .	(B)	(C)	(A)	(G)	GRANT PAID!	VARIANCE	AMOUNT	GRANT PAID	VARIANCE
ORT SIMPSON	313	16,460,891	1.9 01	1.13	2.149	267,053	58,284	208,769	46,284	58,284	(12,000)
(ELLOWKNIFE	4739	555,512,883	0.853	1.00		106,017	№ 506,413	(400,396)	563,051	506,413	56,638
HAY RIVER	1174	84,067,765	1.396	1.00	1.396	173,567	130,147	43.420	123,002	130,147	
ORT SMITH	800	53,404,540	1.396	1.00		173,505		40; 506	102,086	132;999	[30,913]
QALUIT	1025	91,702,251	1.118	1.30	1.453	180,640	198,670	(18,030	158,985	198,670	(39,685
NORMAN WELLS	260	97,137,094	0.268	1.25	0.335	41,636	40,110	1,526	32,524	40,110	(7,586
NUVIK	1395	81,998,156	1.701	1.18		249,468	125,263	124,205	165,953	125,263	40,691
TOTALS		980,283,580			10	1,191,886	1,191,886	0	1,191,886	1,191,886	(0)
1017(25)	.,,,,,,	, 55,200,000	-	=======					GRANT POOL	1, 191,886	

GRANT POOL 1,191,886

(DOESN'T INCLUDES \$400,000 EXTRA-ORDINARY FUNDING

FOR FORT SIMPSON]

•1988 Assesment for 1989 Taxation

TABLE # 3- SCENARIO II MODIFIED EQUALIZATION FORMULA MODEL B TRANSITION ZONE

				_				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		•TOTAL -			Γ			
	# OF	EQUALIZED					1989	
	DWELLINGS	ASSESSMENT	(B)	(c)	(A)	(G)	GRANT PAID	VARIANCE
RANKIN INLET	374	21,179,664	1.766	1.00	1.766	711,342	863,694	(152,352)
TUKTOYAKTUK	575	26,332,597	2.184	1.00	2.184	879,552	727,200	152,352
TOTALS	949	47.512,261			3.950	1,590,894	1,590,894	0

GRANT POOL 1,590,894

 TABLE #4
 SCENARIO II

 MODIFIED EQUALIZATION FORMULA MODEL B AND PER CAPITA GRANT FOR 11 IE TRANSITION ZONE MUNICIPALITIES

	1	2	3	_ 4	_ 5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	-	" TOTAL							PER CAPITA	ACTUAL	
	# OF	EQUALIZED					1989		GRANT	1989	
	DWELLINGS	ASSESSMENT	(B)	(c)	(A)	(G)	GRA <u>NT</u> <u>P</u> AI <u>D</u>	VARIANCE	AMOUNT	GRANT PAID	VARIANCE
CANKIN INLET	37A	21,179,664	1.766	1.00	1.766	355,6 71	43 1,847	(76, 176	474,574	431,847	42,727
UKTOYAKTUK	575	26,332,597	2.184	1.00	2.184	439,776	363,600	76,176	320,873	363,600	(42,727),
TOTALSI	949	47,512,261			3.950	795,447	795,447	0	795,447	795,447	0
										306	

GRANT POOL 795,447 GRANT POOL 795,447

ş

•

		<u></u>	<u> </u>	0.0	പ്തി	=16			
-	VARIANCE	213,981	187,003	68,349	(739,513	(163,911)			
10	GRANT PAID	265,998	260,294	397,340	1,012,826	863,694	4,	4,370,665	EXTRA-ORDINARY FUNDING FOR FORT SIMPSON)
6	GRANI	479,979	447,297	465,689	107,338		4,370,665	GRANT PCIOL 4,3,	EXTRA-ORDINARY FUR FOR FORT SIMPSON)
Kin inlet 8	VARIANCE	508,730	146,067	333,744	17,295		(209, 136)		
B INCLUDING TUKTOYAKTUK AND RANKIN INLET 6 7 8	1989 GRANT PAID	116,568	260,294	250,525	80,220	-	723,200	_11	
TABLE # 5 · SCENARIO II IG TUKTOYAKTUK AND RA 6	(5)	625,298	436,032	584,269	97,515	248,299	514,064	GRANT POO	
ICLUDIN 5	٩	2.148	1.396	2.007		2.184	1.766	4	C
DEL B IN	2	1.13	88	1.18	1.25	00.0	1.00		Toxo .
IULA MOI		1.901	1.498	1.701	0.268	0.853	1.766		ارم 1989
TION FORMULA MODEL	OTAL ALIZED	460,891	,404,540	998,156	,702,251	,512,883	,179,664	,795,841	co: DXDI 089 Tox

Assesment for 1989 Taxa foo

TABLE #6 - SCENARIO II

	MODIFIED EQUALIZATION FORMULA MODEL B AND PER CAPITA MODEL COMBINED										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	! !
		• TOTAL							PER CAPITA	ACTUAL	•
•	# OF	EQUALIZED					1989		GRANT	1989	
	DWELLINGS	ASSESSMENT	(B)	(C)	(A)	(G)	GRANT PAID	VARIANCE	AMOUNT	GRANT PAID	VARIANCE
FORT SIMPSON	313	16,460,891	1.901	1.13	2.149	315,059	58,284	256,775	71,372	58,284	13,088
YELLOWKNIFE	4,739	555,512,883	0.853	1.00	0.853	125,075	506,413	(381,338)			361,845
HAY RIVER	1,174	84,067,765	1.396	1.00	1.396	204,768	130,147	74,621	189,675		59,52[}
FORT SMITH	800	53,404,540	1.396	1.00	1.396	204,696	132,999	71,697	157,423	132,999	24,424
IQALUIT	1,025	91,702,251	1.118	1.30	1.453	213 112	198,670	14,442	245,164	198,670	
NORMAN WELLS		97,137,094	0.268	1.25	0 . 335	49,121	40,110	9,011	50,155	40,110	10,04!5
NUVIK	1,395	81,998,156	1.701	1.18	2.007	294,313	125,26 <u>3</u>	169,050	255,910	125,263	130,647
TUKTOYAKTUK	575	26,332,597	2.184	1.00	2.184	320,240	431847	1111,607		431,847	[372,39 7]
RANKIN INLET	374	21,179,664	1.766	1.00	1.766	258,949	361600	(102,651)		361,600	(273,674)
TOTALS					1.4	1,985,333	1,985,333	[0]		1,985,333	(0)
									GRANT POOL	1,985,333	

GRANT POO 1,985,333

(DOESN'T INCLUDES \$400,000 EXTRA-ORDINARY FUNDING FOR FORT SIMPSON)

" 1988 Assesment for 1989 Taxation

SCENARIO III

Fort **Simpson** is not included in all the calculations. The assumption is that they would receive an amount as agreed to by Municipal and Community Affairs or Fort Simpson will be placed in a transitions pool for emerging **TBM's**.

TABLE 1 Distribution by Equalization

Yellowknife Fort Smith Hay River Inuvik

Norman Wells
Iqaluit

TABLE 2 Distribution by Equalization and Per Capita

6 - Tax Based

In this table, the pool of funds is reduced by Fort Simpson's present grant and is distributed using the Modified Equalization Formula - Model B and a straight per capita model.

In this table, the pool of funds

is reduced by Fort Simpson's

present grant and is distributed using the Modified Equalization

Formula - Model B.

TABLE 3 Distribution by Equalization

Fort Simpson Tuktoyaktuk Rankin Inlet This table shows Tuktoyaktuk and Rankin Inlet and Fort Simpson in a transition pool for emerging TBM's. Funds are distributed using the Modified Equalization Formula - Model B.

TABLE 4 Distribution by Equalization and Per Capita

Fort Simpson Tuktoyaktuk Rankin Inlet This table shows Tuktoyaktuk, Rankin Inlet and Fort Simpson in a transition pool for emerging TBM's. Funds are distributed using the Modified Equalization Formula -Model B and a straight per capita model.

TAME # 1 SCENAR

MODIFIED EQUALIZATION FORMULA - MODEL B LESS FORT SIMPSON

	I	2				,	
		" TOTAL				,	
	# OF	EQUALIZED					
	DWELLINGS	ASSESSMENT	(B)	(cl	3	(G)	GR
FORT SMITH	800	53,404,540	1.498	1.00	1.498	450,314	
HAY RIVER	1174	84,067,765	1.396	1.00	1.396	419,652	
INUVIK	1395	81,998,156	1,701	1.18	2.007	603,325	
IQALUIT	1025	91,702,251	1.118	1 .30	1 .453	436,787	
NORMAN WEUS	260	97,137.094	0.268	1.25	0.335	100,704	
YELLOWKNIFE	A739	555,512,883	0.853	1.00	0.853	256,421	
TOTALS	9,393	963,822,689			7.54	2,26 <u>7.</u> 203	2,

GRANT POOL =

" 1988 Assesment for 1989 Taxation

TABLE #2 - SCENARIO III

	MODIFIED E	QUALIZATION FOR	RMULA M	ODEL B	AND PE	R CAPITA MO	DEI COMBINIE	D - IFSS FORT	ξ <u>.</u> δ	10	11
	1	2	3	4	5	0	-		PER CAPITA	ACTUAL	
		" TOTAL					1989		GRANT	1989	
	# OF	EQUALIZED	(D)	(0)	(4)	(G)	GRANT PAID	VARIANIĆE	AMOUNT	GRANT PAID	VARIANCE
	DWELLINGS	ASSESSMENT	(B)		(A)	129,949	506,4-13	(376,464	557,153	506,413	50,740
YELLOWKNIFE-	4739	555,512,883	0.853	1.00	0.853	,	130,147	82,600	121,713	130,147	(8,434
HAY RIVER	1174	84,067,765	1.396	1.00	1.396	212,747		·	101,017	132,999	[31,982
FORT SMITH	800	53,404,540	1.396	1.00	1.396	212,672		79,673	1	198,670	4 1,351
	1025	91,702,251	1.118	1.30	1.453	221,417	198,670	22,747	157,319	·	(7,926
IQALUIT	1 1	97,137,094	0.268	1.25	0.335	51,035	40,110_	10,925	32,184	40,110	
NORMAN WELLS		81 998 156	1.701	1 18	2.007	305,781	125,263	180,519	164,215	125,263	38,952
INUVIK	1395	063 822 680		1.10	7	1,133,602	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	1,133,602	1,133,602	0
TOTALS	7,373	700,022,007	1			GRANT POO	DL 1,133,602	-	GRANT POOL	1,133,602	

^{• 1988} Assesment for 1989 Taxation

TABLE # 3- SCENARIO III

MODIFIED EQUALIZATION FORMULA MODEL B TRANSITION ZONE [WITH AND WITHOUT \$400,000 TO FORT SIMPSON]

	1	2	3	Α	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		" TOTAL								ACTUAL	
	# OF	EQUAUZED					1989		GRANT	1989	
	DWELLINGS	ASSESSMENT	(B)	(cl	(A)	(G)	GRANT PAJD	VARIANCE	AMOUNT	GRANT PAID	VARIANCE
FORT SIMPSON	313	16,460,891	1.901	1.13	2.149	601,623	116,568	485,055	742,562	516,568	225,994
RANKIN INLET	374	21,179,664	1.766	1.00	1.766	494,433	863,693	(369,260]	610,262	863,693	[253,431
TUKTOYAKTUK	575	26,332,597	2.184	1.00	2.184	611,405	727,199	(115,794	754,636	727,199	27,437
10TALS	1.262	63,973,152			6.098	1,707,460	1.707.460	0	2.107,460	2.107.460	0
						GRANT POOL	1,707,460		GRANT POOL =	2,107,460	

(INCLUDES \$400,000 EXTRA-ORDINARY FUNDING FOR FORT SIMPSON]

" 1988 Assesment for 1989 Taxation

TABLE # 4- SCENARIO III

	MODIFIED EC	QUALIZATION FOR		10	11						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	· ·	• TOTAL							PER CAPITA	ACTUAL	
							1989		GRANT	1989	
			(0)	101	141	(C)		VADIANCE	AMOUNT	GRANT PAID	VARIANCE
	DWELLINGS	ASSESSMENT				(- /					172,910
FORT SIMPSON	313	16,460,891	1.901								•
DANKINI INIF	374	21.179.664	1.766	1.00	1.766	247,216	431,847	(184,63c)	_		
			2 184	1.00	2.184	305.702	363,600	(57,897	252,272	363,600	(111,328
			2.104					0	853.730	853,730	0
TOTALS	1,262	63,973,152			0.070	000,730	000,100			•	
FORT SIMPSON RANKIN INLET TUKTOYAKTUK TOTALS	# OF DWELLINGS 313 374 575 1,262	EQUALIZED ASSESSMENT 16,460,891 21,179,664 26,332,597 63,973,152	(B) 1.901 1.766 2.184	(C) 1.13 1.00 1.00		(G) 300,811 247,216 305,702 853,730	GRANT PAID 58,284 431,847 363,600	VARIANCE 242,527 (184,63c) (57,897)	AMOUNT 231,194	GRANT PAID 58,284 431,847 363,600 853,730 853,730	172,9° (61,5

GRANT POOL 853,730

"1988 Assesment for 1989 Taxation

ŝ

TABLE # 1 - SCENARIO IV MODIFIED EQUALIZATION FORMULA MODEL B AND PER CAPITA **MODEL** COMBINED

	1	2	3	4	5					
	[• TOTAL				I		EQUALIZATION		8
	# OF	EQUALIZED				,	PER CAPITA	AND	1989	ļ
	DWELLINGS	ASSESSMENT	(B)	(C)	(A)	(G)	ADJUSTMENT	PER CAPITA	GRANT PAID	VARIANCE
FORT SIMPSON	313	16,460,891	1.901	1.13	2.149	630,119	621,927,102	227,473	116,568	110,905
YELLOWKNIFE	4,739	555,512,883	0.853	1.00	0.853	250,151	3,394,046,236	1,241,391	1,012,826	228,565
HAY RIVER	1,174	84,067,765	1.396	1.00	1.396	409,535	1,213,863,025	443,977	260,294	183,683
FORT SMITH	800	53,404,540	1.396	1.00	1.396	409,391	1,007,101,896	368,353	265,998	102,355
IQALUIT	1,025	91,702,251	1.118	1.30	1.453	426,224	1,256,082,543	459,419	397,340	62,079
NORMAN WELLS	260	97,137,094	0.268	1.25	0.335	98,242	61,597,805	22,530	80,220	(57,690)
INUVIK	1,395 (81,998,156	1.701	1.18	2.007	588,626	1,994,852,448	729,628	250,525	479,103
TUKTOYAKTUK	575	26,332.597	2.184	1.00	2.184	640,480	595,005,851	217,627	863,694	(646,067)
RANKIN INLET	ı 374 I	21,179,664	1.766	1.00	1.766	517,897	711,590,793	260,268	723,200	(462,932)
TOTALS	10,655	1,027,795,841			14	3,970,665	10,856,067,698	3,970,665	3,970,665	0

GRANT POOL =

3,970,665

" 1988 Assesment for 1989 Taxation

Community Planning

Recreation

This amount represents costs associated with up-dating and maintaining plans.

- 3 -

The per capita amounts 20-10-5-3 decrease with population. The outcomes of these calculations represent program and supplies costs.